Review

Management of oral drug therapy in elderly patients with dysphagia

S. Fusco¹, D. Cariati¹, R. Schepisi², R. Ganzetti³, M. Sestili³, S. David⁴, L. Ferrara⁴, M. Liuzzi Gatto⁵, S. Vena⁶, A. Corsonello¹, F. Corica²

 ¹ Unit of Geriatric Pharmacopidemiology, Italian National Research Centre on Aging, Research Hospital of Cosenza, Italy; ² Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Messina, Messina, Italy; ³ Unit of Clinical Pharmacy, Italian National Research Centre on Aging, Ancona, Italy;
 ⁴ Medical Direction, Italian National Research Centre on Aging, Research Hospital of Ancona, Italy; ⁵ Unit of Rehabilitation, Italian National Research Centre on Aging, Research Hospital of Cosenza, Italy; ⁶ Unit of Geriatric Medicine, Italian National Research Centre on Aging, Cosenza, Italy

We aimed at summarizing current evidence on age-related changes in swallowing, the impact of selected medications on swallowing, and the management of oral drug therapy in older patients with dysphagia. The risk for oropharyngeal swallowing disorders increases with age. Though increasing age facilitates subtle physiologic changes in swallow function, age-related diseases are most significant factors in the onset and severity of dysphagia. In older people, dysphagia can also occur as a side effect of some medications. Drug-induced dysphagia can appear as a drug side effect or as a complication of the therapeutic action of the drug, mainly through induction of xerostomia, impaired swallowing muscle function or esophageal injury. Whatever the mechanism leading to dysphagia, the administraton of drugs to dysphagic patients is a really challenging issue. Manipulations of solid oral drugs frequently occur in geriatric settings, leading to potential medication errors and changes in drug performance. The implementation of guidelines for management of oral drug therapy in dysphagic patients may contribute to improve the quality of care provided to this very frail population.

Key words: Swallowing disorders, Dysphagia, Elderly, Functional status, Polypharmacy, Medication-induced dysphagia

INTRODUCTION

Dysphagia refers to the loss of swallowing function ¹, and is a growing health concern in aging populations. Its incidence in acute care settings has been reported as high as 33%, and affects up to 68% of elderly nursing home residents ², resulting in a high incidence of respiratory complications ³.

Age-related changes in swallowing functions place older adults at risk for dysphagia for two major reasons. First, healthy aging takes its toll on head and neck anatomy, as well as physiologic and neural mechanisms underpinning swallowing function. Such mechanisms of naturally diminishing functional reserve contribute to swallowing alterations in healthy older adults which are known as *presbyphagia*. Second, age-related diseases, including neurological (e.g. stroke, Parkinson's and Alzheimer's disease) and non-neurological diseases (e.g gastro-oesophageal reflux disease) ^{4 5} may be associated with swallowing disorders. Finally, older patients with multiple chronic diseases are often treated with complex polypharmacy regimens, and selected medications may contribute to the onset of swallowing disorders by several different mechanisms.

The clinical implications of dysphagia are complex and potentially dangerous. Indeed, besides increasing the risk of aspiration pneumonia, swallowing disorders

Received: August 31, 2015 - Accepted: November 13, 2015

Correspondence: Sergio Fusco, Unit of Geriatric Pharmacopidemiology, Italian National Research Centre on Aging, Research Hospital of Cosenza, Italy -Tel. +39 0984682050 - Fax +39 0984682343 - E-mail: s.fusco84@yahoo.it

could compromise medication adherence and therapeutic outcomes. Big size and poor quality of tablet coatings are among reasons to withdraw oral medications ⁶, and older age is associated with increasing prevalence of oral drug administration problems ⁷.

Despite this bulk of evidence, swallowing problems often remain concealed in older adults, leading to dehydration/malnutrition ⁸ and pneumonia ^{9 10}. Therefore, the aim of this review is to summarize current evidence on age-related changes in swallowing, the impact of selected medications on swallowing, and the management of oral drug therapy in older patients with dysphagia.

AGING AND PRESBYPHAGIA

The physiologic process of swallowing requires a complex series of psychological, sensory, and motor

functions, that are both voluntary and involuntary. Swallowing process in divided in three stages: 1) *Oral stage* (voluntary); 2) *Pharyngeal stage*; 3) *Esophageal stage* as reported in figure 1.

During the oral stage, the food is manipulated (masticated if a solid) into a cohesive unit (referred to as bolus) in preparation for the remaining phases of the swallowing process. Food is chewed and mixed with saliva to form a bolus and it is positioned on the tongue for transport. Once the bolus is prepared, the tongue begins the anterior to posterior propulsion of the bolus for passage to the pharynx. Sensory receptors in the oropharynx and tongue itself are stimulated and pharyngeal swallow is triggered. The food enters the upper throat area, the soft palate elevates and the epiglottis closes off the trachea, as the tongue moves backwards and the pharyngeal wall moves forward. These actions help force the food downward to the esophagus. Finally, the food bolus enters the esophagus and it is moved



M3R: muscarinic receptor; LH: lateral hypothalamus; COX-1/COX-2: cyclooxygenase 1-2; NO: Nitric oxide; PC: Phosphatidylcholine

Figure 1. The main stages in the swallowing process, (left) and the main mechanism of drug induced dysphagia (right).

to the stomach by a peristaltic action of the throat muscles (esophageal stage). Bolus transport in the thoracic esophagus is guite different from that in the pharynx, because it is driven by true peristalsis regulated by the autonomic nervous system. Once the food bolus enters the esophagus passing the upper esophageal sphincter (UES), a peristalsis wave carries the bolus down to stomach through the lower esophageal sphincter (LES). Gravity assists peristalsis in upright position. Aging is characterized by changes occurring in the structure, motility, coordination, and sensitivity of the swallowing process ¹¹, and it has been estimated that 35-68% of people aged 65 or more have some degree of swallowing dysfunction ^{12 13}. Declining muscle strength, receptor density and sensory receptor responsiveness are associated with a reduced lingual pressure leading to slow bolus velocity ¹⁴. Periventricular white matter lesions and cerebral atrophy are usually associated with longer duration of swallowing and prolonged swallowing response time ¹⁵. A desynchronization of the swallowing response of glossopalatal junction, velopharyngeal junction, laryngeal vestibule and UES¹⁶ can lead to intra-esophageal stasis (bolus retention) and reflux from the lower pharyngo-UES ¹⁷. Effortful swallowing has shown to impact the swallowing response in young and older adults. While effortful swallowing can increase the oral pressure and the swallow response durations at various levels in both age groups, there were significant differences between young and older volunteers whereby the older achieved a lower maximum pressure and higher residues in the pyriform sinuses upon effortful swallowing ¹⁸. Swallowing might also be affected by a decline of saliva production, which in turn impairs

bolus formation. Even if the saliva secretion, which is triggered by cholinergic stimulation of the muscarinic receptors within the saliva glands, remains stable over the life span, the decreasing number of saliva-producing acinar cells might decrease the salivary reserve. Additionally, the composition of saliva also changes with age. The saliva is composed of water, mucin, and various bactericidal components like proteolytic enzymes, antibodies, amylases and lipases. Density and viscosity of saliva increases with aging, also contributing to impaired swallowing function among older people¹⁹. Finally, sarcopenia, defined as the loss of skeletal muscle mass and strength with increased risk of adverse outcomes ²⁰, contributes to presbyphagia. Indeed, it affects the muscles of the upper aero-digestive tract involved in the swallowing process, thus reducing the strength and function of the swallowing response ¹⁹.

CAUSES AND CONSEQUENCES OF DYSPHAGIA

Stages of swallowing (i.e. oropharyngeal and esophageal) may be differentially affected by several diseases (Tab. I). Among these, neurologic disorders are the most frequent cause of swallowing impairment in elderly patients. Dysphagia occurs commonly after stroke, with prevalence estimates ranging between 40%-60% in the acute phase of stroke ²¹ ²², mainly due to the interruption of voluntary control of the oral phase ²³. Dysphagia is often observed among patients with Parkinson's disease (PD), where swallowing problems affect over 80% of diseased population, reflecting the underlying motor impairments and the extent of

A. Causes of oropharyngeal dysphagia ^{106 107}			
Neurologic	Stroke, Dementia, Traumatic brain injury, Cerebral palsy Guillain-Barré syndrome, Poliomyelitis Myopathy, Coma		
Myopathic	Connective tissue disease, Dermatomyositis, Myasthenia gravis, Myotonic dystrophy, Oculopharyngeal dystrophy, Sarcoidosis, Paraneoplastic syndromes		
Neoplastic	Any tumor involving the aerodigestive tract		
Geriatric	Age-related changes		
latrogenic	Drugs (chemotherapy, neuroleptics etc.), Radiation therapy		
Infective	Diphtheria, botulism, Lyme disease, Syphilis, Mucositis (herpes, cytomegalovirus, Candida etc.)		
Metabolic	Amyloidosis, Cushing's syndrome, Thyrotoxicosis, Wilson's Disease		
Dysfunctional	Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease		
B. Causes of esophageal dysphagia ^{106 107}			
Mechanical (intrinsic and extrinsic)	Pyloric stenosis, Tumors, Thoracic aorta aneurysm, Muscleskeletal problems		
Neuromuscular (primary and secondary)	Achalasia, Diffuse esophageal spasm, Scleroderma, Collagen diseases		
Anatomical	Cricopharyngeal Barra, Zenker's diverticulum, Osteophytes, Congenital malformations, Cervical scars		

Table I. Causes of dysphagia.

the disease's progression ²⁴. The swallowing disorders most frequently observed in PD patients are related to the oral and pharyngeal phase, resulting in abnormal bolus formation, delayed swallowing response, and prolonged pharyngeal transit time, with repetitive swallows to clear the throat. Dementia is frequently associated with dysphagia, and it has been estimated that up to 45% of institutionalized patients with dementia have some degree of swallowing difficulty ²⁵. These difficulties may relate to cognitive impairment, motor deficits such as weakness or apraxia, loss of appetite, and/ or food avoidance. As a result, patients with dementia may experience weight loss and increased dependency for feeding.

Moreover, swallowing disorders can be caused by combinations of several underlying conditions or comorbidities whose impact on risk of dysphagia is not always as obvious as for neurological diseases. Gastrooesophageal reflux disease may lead to esophageal motility disturbances due to the reflux of acidic gastric contents into the esophagus and symptomatic chronic irritation or injury to the esophageal mucosa ²⁶. The gastrointestinal complications of diabetes mellitus are the outward forms of the diabetic visceral neuropathy, which can affect tonus and motility of the esophagus, especially in late stages of diabetes ²⁷. Recently, the association between chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and swallowing disorders has been investigated ²⁸. Limited laryngeal elevation, decreased tongue strength and movements, and delayed swallowing response are the most frequent alterations of swallowing in COPD patients ²⁹. Preiksaitis et al. suggested that patients with COPD may be prone to disrupted breathing/swallowing pattern because of the combined effects of deglutition apnea and reduced ventilatory capacity ³⁰. Dysphagia of cardiac origin is yet rarely diagnosed symptom ³¹. The position of the esophagus relative to the spine seems to be an important factor in determining whether or not an enlarged left atrium can cause compression of the esophagus. If the oesophagus is displaced to the left, its lateral movement is limited by the descending aorta and it can then be compressed against the spine by the enlarged heart ³². Finally, esophagus motor dysfunction has been reported in patients with chronic renal failure (CKD) in uremic stage. Though uremic neuropathy and/or myopathy are likely involved in esophageal motor dysfunction ³³, the pathogenesis of CKD-related dysphagia is still unclear ³⁴.

As for consequences of dysphagia, swallowing difficulties may contribute to malnutrition and dehydration. Up to 30% of neurological patients and up to 55% of frail older patients with dysphagia present or are at risk of malnutrition with a strong relationship between severity of dysphagia and incidence of malnutrition ^{35 36}. In a recent study in older patients with dysphagia the prevalence of malnutrition (36.8%) and risk of malnutrition (55.3%) was significantly higher compared older patients without dysphagia ³⁷. A recent resolution of the Council of Europe on food and nutritional care in hospitals identified functional oropharyngeal dysphagia as a major contributor to malnutrition and its consequences, including prolonged hospital stay, impaired quality of life, and unnecessary health care costs ³⁸. Dehydration also is an important concern among dysphagic patients ³⁹. About 75% of individuals in long-term care have been reported to be dehydrated when relying on thickened liquids for oral hydration ⁴⁰. Moreover, dehydration increases the risk of falls, kidney failure, constipation, urinary tract infections, delirium, respiratory infections, loss of muscle strength and pressure sores among bedridden patients ⁴¹. Thus, nutritional and hydration status should be carefully scrutinized among older people with swallowing disorders.

Aspiration pneumonia is among leading causes of mortality after stroke, accounting for nearly 35% of poststroke deaths ⁴². Additionally, aspiration pneumonia is associated with worsening nutritional status during hospitalization ³⁷, increased costs due to longer hospital stay ⁴³, and more severe disability after stroke ³⁷. Swallowing problems increase the risk of aspiration (inhaling fluid or stomach contents into the lungs) and pneumonia ¹⁹. Altered mental status, esophageal motility disorders and vomiting, oropharyngeal colonization, and enteral feeding also represent important risk factors which need to be taken into account when assessing a dysphagic patient ⁴⁴. Oral care, and among patients with tube feeding, postpyloric feeds may reduce the risk of aspiration pneumonia ^{45 46}.

Finally, another important consequence of dysphagia is the difficulty in the administration of oral medications. Indeed, difficulty swallowing pills is often the first sign of dysphagia among older patients, and select medications themselves can cause swallowing problems ³¹. Current evidence about these important issues will be summarized in the following sections.

MEDICATION-INDUCED DYSPHAGIA

Adverse drug reactions might cause swallowing dysfunction, mainly through induction of xerostomia, impaired swallowing muscle function or esophageal injury (Tab. II).

Xerostomia (dry mouth), is often observed among users of selected medications ¹⁰, especially in patients treated with complex polypharmacy regimens ⁴⁷. More than 400 pharmaceutical products have been

		,
Drugs that may contribute to esophageal injury	Drugs that may contribute to xerostomia	Drugs that may contribute to dysphagia
Antibiotics • Tetracicline • Macrolids • Pennicilline NSAID • Acetilsalicinic Acid • Piroxicam • Indometacin Bisphosphonate • Alendronate	 Antipsychotics Antidepressants Antiemetics Anxiolytics Antihistamines Diuretics Anticholinergics Antihypertensive Bronchodilators 	Antipsicotics • Haloperidol • Olanzapine • Clozapine • Paliperidone • Risperidone Anticholinergics • Nitrazepam • Clonazepam Chemotherapy • Vincristine
		Villeriotario

	Table II. Drugs that ma	v contribute to swallowing	a disorders (from Steae	mann et al., 2012 ^{10,} mod.)
--	-------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------	--

considered to have adverse effects on the mechanisms responsible for salivary output, although experimental support for this evidence is available only for some medications ⁴⁸. Probably the most common mechanism of medication-induced salivary gland hypofunction (SGH) is an impairment of the signaling pathway in salivary tissue reducing the saliva production or release from the salivary glands. Drugs with anticholinergic activity, mainly against the muscarinic receptor (M3R) are the most reported cause of reduced salivation. The M3R mediate parasympathetic cholinergic neurotransmission to salivary (and lacrimal) glands. Tricvclic antidepressants present anticholinergic effects in a variety of degrees ⁴⁹. They block the effects of acetylcholine on the muscarinic receptors, resulting in a decreased salivary flow rate. Therefore, sympathetic stimulation predominates, which leads to more viscous saliva production ⁵⁰. Anticholinergic bronchodilators, including the most recent glycopyrronium ⁵¹ and aclidinium bromide 52, also diminish salivary production and secretion, with a reduction in flow rates of whole and parotid saliva 53. Select antihypertensive agents that act on central alpha 2-adrenergic receptors, such as clonidine, usually cause dry mouth. The study of Takakura et al. ⁵⁴ demonstrated that activation of alpha 2-adrenoceptors in the lateral hypothalamus (LH) inhibits salivation, suggesting that LH is one of the possible central sites involved in anti-salivatory effects. Selected chemotherapy treatments also causes transient xerostomia: cyclophosphamide, epirubicin or methotrexate, and 5-fluorouracil appear to affect the function of acinar and ductal cells, by inducing dilation of the excretory duct 55, acinar degeneration and inflammation of glandular tissue 56, with recovery of salivary function after the end of treatment cycles.

Finally, some medications may cause xerostomia without affecting salivary flow. Diuretics cause an overall decrease extracellular volume or electrolyte loss secondary to the increased urine output. As a consequence, there is a decrease on the salivary flow rate ^{57 58}.

Selected drugs may affect swallowing by impairment of muscle function. Psychotropic medications may depress bulbar centres and result into inhibition of the cough, gag and swallow reflex. The well known extrapyramidal effects of antipsychotics and neuroleptics may lead to dysphagia by reducing the tonus of the pharyngeal muscles ⁵⁹. Psychotropic drugs may also produce dopaminergic and cholinergic blockade producing peripheral and central effects on swallowing and potential impairment of oesophagus motility and the gag reflex ⁶⁰. A number of drugs act directly on the smooth muscle of the lower oesophageal sphincter to reduce resting sphincter pressure. Several experience has been reported with isosorbide dinitrate and the calcium antagonists, particularly nifedipine ⁶¹. The sublingual use of isosorbide dinitrate before meals has been shown to decrease mean resting lower oesophageal sphincter pressures, with relaxation usually lasting at least 90 minutes 62. Calcium antagonists interfere with calcium uptake by smooth muscle cells, producing relaxation of the lower oesophageal sphincter as well as reducing the amplitude of peristaltic contractions in the body of the oesophagus.

Medication-induced esophageal injury has been reported with many medications. In some cases, it is a class effect and can be caused by a direct dose-dependent erosive effect on the esophageal mucosa or an indirect effect mediated by changes in the esophageal pH. Patients may experience a sudden onset of burning and retro-sternal pain aggravated upon swallowing ⁶³. These injuries, including esophageal ulceration, perforation, strictures, and esophagitis, often present as a perception that food or a pill is stuck in the throat ⁶⁴. The majority of cases are attributed to anti-microbial medications, including penicillines, macrolides and tetraciclines (doxycycline generates strongly acidic solutions with pH < 3) ⁶⁵. Other drug classes prone to induce esophageal disorders are nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAID), such as acetylsalicylic acid, indomethacin and piroxicam. NSAIDs cause gastroduodenal damage by 2 main mechanisms: a physiochemical disruption of the gastric mucosal barrier and systemic inhibition of gastric mucosal protection, through inhibition of cyclooxygenase (COX) and prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (PG G/H synthase) activity of the gastrointestinal mucosa 66. A reduced synthesis of mucus and bicarbonate, an impairment of mucosal blood flow. and an increase in acid secretion are the main conseguences of NSAID-induced PG deficiency ⁶⁷. There is mounting evidence to suggest that gastric damage induced by ns-NSAIDs does not occur because of COX-1 inhibition; dual suppression of COX-1 and COX-2 is necessary for damage. However, against a background of COX inhibition by antiinflammatory doses of NSAIDs, their physicochemical properties, in particular their acidity, underlie the topical effect, leading to short-term damage 68. Additional mechanisms may contribute to damage, including uncoupling of oxidative phosphorylation leading to ATP depletion, reduced mucosal cell proliferation and DNA synthesis, as well as neutrophil activation. Evidence suggests that NSAIDs may also induce GI damage by interference with mucosal synthesis and availability of Nitric oxide (NO) 69 and hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) ⁷⁰. These mediators are endogenously generated gaseous mediators, important in maintaining gastric mucosal integrity, which share many biologic effects with PGs.

Even bisphosphonates may cause mucosal injury. Bisphosphonates act as topical irritants to the GI lining resulting in chemical esophagitis. It is hypothesized that bisphosphonates compromise the protective, hydrophobic mucosal barrier of the GI tract allowing gastric acid to agitate the epithelial lining. The chronic irritation and inflammation leads to erosions and/or ulcerations. Phosphatidylcholine (PC) is one of the phospholipids responsible for the hydrophobic properties of the bilayer ⁷¹. Phosphatidylcholine has demonstrated an ability to create a protective environment on both inert and biological surfaces and protect GI cells from irritating agents. Both bisphosphonates and PC are similar in size and molecular structures - with a negatively charged phosphate group and a positively charged nitrogen group connected by a 2-carbon chain. The comparable molecular composition of bisphosphonates and phospholipids creates competitive binding on the mucosal layer. When bisphosphonates bind, this prevents PC or other protective phospholipids from binding and producing the hydrophobic barrier that protects the epithelial lining from gastric acid ⁷¹. Gastrointestinal toxicity seems to be less relevant with risedronate ⁷². In many cases of upper gastrointestinal ulcers caused by bisphosphonates, patients failed to take their medications correctly. In these cases, alendronate in the stomach was likely refluxed back into the esophagus, causing esophageal ulcers, erosive esophagitis, odvnophagia, dysphagia, esophageal hemorrhage, and esophageal stricture 73. Finally, mucoadhesive substances able to adhere to mucosal wall, e.g. gelatine in capsule pharmaceutical formulations 74, can cause oesophageal injury, which can be severe if the medicinal agent has corrosive properties ⁷⁵. Whatever is the mechanism by which a given drug adversely affect the gastrointestinal system, these adverse effects are clinically important even when are not severe. Indeed, prolonged gastrointestinal symptoms can ultimately cause medication non-adherence and its inherent negative outcomes. In conclusion, drugs may affect swallowing thorugh several different mechanisms. Drug-induced swallowing disorders are usually reversible and might be resolved by changing the medication regimen ^{47 59}. Thus, healthcare professionals can play an important role in reducing the burden of drug-induced swallowing disorders by alerting patients and caregivers to early warning signs and providing education to help patients prevent these effects.

MEDICATION ADHERENCE

The oral route of administration of medications is the most preferred one, but swallowing oral medications in the form of pills, tablets, or capsules also represents a really challenging task for many individuals with dysphagia. Indeed, medication non-adherence is among the most relevant clinical issues among patients with swallowing disorders.

Medication adherence is directly affected by dysphagia, as it increases the risk for omissions in general practice population ⁶ as well as in nursing home residents ⁷⁶. For example, 15% of all residents in long-term care facilities reported difficulty swallowing tablets and capsules. Of them, 5% regularly expectorated, while 27% did not even attempt to swallow the medication ⁷⁶. Consequences of non-adherence may be more serious, and less easily detected and resolved in older compared to younger patients ⁷⁷. Patients with dysphagia who fail to comply with prescribed medications are likely to encounter increased morbidity and mortality. In addition to worse medical treatment outcomes, medication non-adherence leads to higher hospitalization rates and healthcare costs ⁷⁸⁻⁸⁰.

Thus, awareness of dyshagia-related non-adherence is of paramount importance for correct management of pharmacological therapy.

15

MANAGEMENT OF ORAL MEDICATIONS IN DYSPHAGIC PATIENTS

Problems related to enteral feeding and drug administration to patients with swallowing issues is a growing concern for physicians, pharmacists and nurses ⁸¹, and this issue is even more complex when patients are treated at home with or without the assistance of a caregiver.

RISK OF TABLET CRUSHING

Crushing tablets and opening capsules are the main alterations of dosage forms in patients with swallowing difficulty and account for up to one third of oral drug administrations in nursing homes ⁸². This practice may alter the rate and extent to which the active ingredient is absorbed ^{83 84}, leading to overdosing or underdosing. Overdosing is particularly dangerous when the drug has a narrow therapeutic index, because a small difference in plasma concentration can cause serious adverse effects 85. This evidence should be applied for products containing carbamazepine, digoxin, lithium, theophylline, phenytoin, phenobarbital, and others. For example, crushing tablets of digoxin exposes patients to the risk of clinically relevant arrhythmias ⁸⁶. Opening a capsule of the oral anticoagulant dabigatran increases the drug's bioavailability by about 75%, thus exposing patients to the risk of haemorrhage ⁸⁷. Thus, these medications should never be chewed or opened.

As regards the risk of underdosing, gastro-resistant (or enteric-coated) tablets and capsules containing gastroresistant granules are designed to release the active ingredient beyond the stomach. The purpose is usually to protect the active ingredient from gastric acid. When a gastro-resistant layer is destroyed by crushing, underdosing is very likely, as for example with gastroresistant tablets of sulfasalazine or proton pump inhibitors ⁸⁸.

Besides causing overdosing or under dosing, tablet crushing raise several other issues. For example, crushing products with carcinogenic or teratogenic potential may expose carers or healthcare professionals to health risks through powder aerosolisation. Similarly, preparations containing hormones (oral contraceptives, hormonal replacement therapy), corticosteroids (such as dexamethasone) and some other drugs (finasteride, mycophenolate) should not be crushed due to the risks associated with powder aerosolisation ⁸⁹. In addition several drug substances may also cause irritation if the powder is aerosolised and inhaled or contact with eyes, skin, or other mucosal membranes (e.g. alendronate, piroxicam, ganciclovir, hydroxycarbamide)⁹⁰. Finally, for drugs which have a particularly bitter taste, a coating (sugar/film) is often used to help mask the taste of the active substance. A sugar coating provides a thick hard coat to a tablet and is traditionally used to mask the taste of particularly unpleasant tasting drugs such as ibuprofen or quinine. Crushing tablets containing bitter or unpleasant tasting drug substances may produce a preparation which is unpleasant to taste and which a patient may refuse to take unless the taste can be masked using a suitable food or liquid ⁹¹.

DRUG THERAPY WITH ENTERAL TUBES

Crushing of tablets and opening of capsules were used by 85.5% of the nurses to convert them into an applicable form for enteral tubing ⁹². However, several issues must be considered with concurrent administration of oral medications and enteral formulas, especially during continuous tube feeding, because incorrect administration methods may result in clogged feeding tubes, decreased drug effectiveness, increased risk adverse effects or drug-enteral formula incompatibilities ⁹³.

Liquid formulations should be preferred because they are readily absorbed and are less likely to cause tube occlusions. Since syrups are more likely to cause clumping when exposed to enteral nutrition, elixirs or suspensions should be favored 94. However, also oral liquid medications may potentially cause adverse effects. Many liquid preparations are extremely hyperosmolar or contain large amounts of sorbitol, increasing the risk of GI intolerance. This is particularly troublesome when a large volume of drug is dispensed per dose. Hypertonic medications may not be well tolerated when delivered into the small intestine. Additionally, when hypertonic medications are rapidly administered into the stomach, they may easily reach the small bowel resulting in osmotic diarrhea⁹⁵. In this case, it may be necessary to change the medication with a therapeutically equivalent agent not containing sorbitol or carrying lower osmolarity. Switching the administrative route may also be helpful. Changing the medication formulation (e.g., from a liquid to a crushed tablet or opened capsule when it can be safely done) may be another option.

The addition of medication directly to the enteral formula should be avoided. Although it may be convenient to mix drugs with enteral feedings, this practice can result in physical incompatibilities, decreased drug absorption, increased risk of tube occlusions, and potential microbial contamination ⁹⁶. Various medications may cause drug-formula incompatibilities and result in tube occlusions. For example, mixing certain acidic syrups and elixirs with enteral formulas may produce clumping or thickening because the acidic liquid preparations cause protein denaturation in the enteral formula. Formulas containing intact proteins are more affected than those that contain free amino acids or hydrolyzed protein. To avoid these potential interactions and incompatibilities, medications should be given as a bolus and separated from enteral nutrition, and feeding tubes should be flushed with 15-30 mL of water before and after medication administration ⁹⁷.

While it should be reminded that product licence of each clearly states the conditions for which the medication may be suitably administered, the above issues raised the need for algorithms for medication management to be applied in different settings in order to improve medication adherence and reduce drugs manipulation in patients with dysphagia.

Recently, guidelines for the management of oral therapy in patients with swallowing problems have been developed in order to ensure that the most appropriate formulation is prescribed for each patient ⁸⁸. Guidelines strategies are summarized in Table III.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF CRUSHING TABLETS

Besides the pharmacological aspects of crushing tablets, there are also legal implications ⁹⁸. Indeed, the administration of medications outside their product licence, as well as mixing medication inapropriately with food or drink before administration takes on a degree of liability for any adverse effects and this practice can be judged as unlawful according to the civil law.

In Italy, the Italian Medicines Agency (AIFA) grant the Marketing Authorisation to the pharmaceutical companies based on some declared parameters that include product characteristics related to: i) therapeutic indications; ii) contraindications and adverse reactions; iii) dosage, pharmaceutical form, route for drug administration. The pharmacological activity of an oral medication depends on its molecular structure, ionization, lipid solubility and binding to plasma protein and tissue ⁹⁹, and inappropriate manipulations can lead to mucosal damage and to significant changes in efficacy and safety profile of the drug ^{100 101}. Liability can be minimized through an improved communication and proper assessment of the swallowing difficulty and a clear documentation of the reason for drug manipulations. In this context, following guidelines and recommendations reported in the summaries of product will improve the quality of pharmacological treatment provided to dysphagic patients.

CONCLUSIONS

Swallowing disorders are multifactorial in nature and affects a significant number of older patients. Agerelated changes in swallowing functions are attributed to physiological, anatomical, motor and sensory alterations. Swallowing disorders are highly prevalent among older patients with neurological diseases, but less obvious non-neurological causes should not be ignored. Some medications may increase the risk of dysphagia. People with swallowing disorders tend to refrain from food and beverages which leads to increased risk of malnutrition and dehydration. Manipulation of drug products is a significant source for medication errors and harmful outcomes, as well as it might have some legal implications ¹⁰². Oral drug prescription to patients with dysphagia should be limited to medications that can be administered without manipulation or for which the manipulation is clearly described in the summary of product. Medication adherence is directly affected by

Guideline strategy	Suggestions
Switching to liquid or dispersible oral formulations	Check dose equivalenceEvaluate efficacy and side effects frequently
Alternative routes of administration	 Transdermal Parenteral/injectable Buccal Rectal Intranasal Sublingual
Altering a solid-dose oral medication	 Consider how stable the product is once opened to the environment Drug manipulation may impact efficacy and the potential for side effects (e.g. phenytoin, digoxin, carbamazepine) – Check summary of product for informations.
Administering medications via enteral tube	 Ensure that there is a functional and accessible gastrointestinal tract Check for risk of tube blockage and drug-tube interactions Check for drug-enteral feed interactions Flush the tube before and after giving medications (with ≥ 30 ml water) If more than one drug is required, give drugs separately and flush between administrations (with ≥ 10 ml water)

Table III. Summary	of quidelines strat	tegies and practice	suggestions for the	e management of oral	medications in dysphagic patients.
		J	55		

difficulties of swallowing or administration of solid oral dosage forms to the patient as it increases the risk for drug omissions ¹⁰³.

Dysphagia management should be considered a 'team event', in which many professionals may contribute ¹⁰⁴. Furthermore, no single strategy is appropriate for all elderly patients with dysphagia. Guidelines for the management of oral therapy in patients with swallowing problems provide some useful information to be implemented into clinical practice. Additionally, in many countries long-term care continues to shift from institutional care to an array of home- and community-based options. Thus, informal or family caregivers that are being expected to share increasingly complex care for dependent elderly persons, should be adequately trained before being involved in the management of dysphagia ¹⁰⁵. Finally, healthcare professionals involved in treating patients with swallowing disorders should also consider to alert the industry to provide suitable dosage forms where they do not exist yet.

References

- ¹ Malagelada JR. World Gastroenterology Organisation Practice Guidelines: Dysphagia, 2007.
- ² Sura L, Madhavan A, Carnaby G, et al. Dysphagia in the elderly: management and nutritional considerations. Clin Interv Aging 2012;7:287-98.
- ³ Morris H. Dysphagia in the elderly a management challenge for nurses. Br J Nurs 2006;15:558-62.
- ⁴ Perry L, Love CP. Screening for dysphagia and aspiration in acute stroke: a systematic review. Dysphagia 2001;16:7-18.
- ⁵ Calabrese C, Fabbri A, Di Febo G. Long-term management of GERD in the elderly with pantoprazole. Clin Interv Aging 2007;2:85-92.
- ⁶ Schiele JT, Quinzler R, Klimm HD, et al. *Difficulties swallowing solid oral dosage forms in a general practice population: prevalence, causes, and relationship to dosage forms*. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 2013;69:937-48.
- ⁷ Payot V, Cordonier AC, Marquis J, et al. *Prevalence of patients' difficulties in swallowing solid SODF*. Int J Clin Pharmacol 2011;33:402.
- ⁸ Altman KW, Yu GP, Schaefer SD. Consequence of dysphagia in the hospitalized patient: impact on prognosis and hospital resources. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2010;136:784-9.
- ⁹ Masiero S, Pierobon R, Previato C, et al. *Pneumonia in stroke patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia: a six-month follow-up study.* Neurol Sci 2008;29:139-45.
- ¹⁰ Stegemann S, Gosch M, Breitkreutz J. Swallowing dysfunction and dysphagia is an unrecognized challenge for oral drug therapy. Int J Pharm 2012;430:197-206.
- ¹¹ Nilsson H, Ekberg O, Olsson R, et al. Quantitative aspects of swallowing in an elderly nondysphagic population. Dysphagia 1996;11:180-4.
- ¹² Lindgren S, Janzon L. Prevalence of swallowing complaints

and clinical findings among 50-79-year-old men and women in an urban population. Dysphagia 1991;6:187-92.

- ¹³ Carnaby-Mann G, Crary M. *Pill swallowing by adults with dysphagia.* Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2005;131:970-5.
- ¹⁴ Robbins J, Levine R, Wood J, et al. Age effects on lingual pressure generation as a risk factor for dysphagia. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 1995;50:M257-62.
- ¹⁵ Nagaya M, Sumi Y. Reaction time in the submental muscles of normal older people. J Am Geriatr Soc 2002;50:975-6.
- ¹⁶ Clave P, Arreola V, Romea M, et al. Accuracy of the volumeviscosity swallow test for clinical screening of oropharyngeal dysphagia and aspiration. Clin Nutr 2008;27:806-15.
- ¹⁷ Jou J, Radowsky J, Gangnon R, et al. Esophageal clearance patterns in normal older adults as documented with videofluoroscopic esophagram. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2009;2009:965062.
- ¹⁸ Hind JA, Nicosia MA, Roecker EB, et al. Comparison of effortful and noneffortful swallows in healthy middle-aged and older adults. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001;82:1661-5.
- ¹⁹ Ney DM, Weiss JM, Kind AJ, et al. Senescent swallowing: impact, strategies, and interventions. Nutr Clin Pract 2009;24:395-413.
- ²⁰ Shiozu H, Higashijima M, Koga T. Association of sarcopenia with swallowing problems, related to nutrition and activities of daily living of elderly individuals. J Phys Ther Sci 2015;27:393-6.
- ²¹ Mann G, Hankey GJ, Cameron D. Swallowing function after stroke: prognosis and prognostic factors at 6 months. Stroke 1999;30:744-8.
- ²² Singh S, Hamdy S. Dysphagia in stroke patients. Postgrad Med J 2006;82:383-91.
- ²³ Poorjavad M, Jalaie S. Systemic review on highly qualified screening tests for swallowing disorders following stroke: validity and reliability issues. J Res Med Sci 2014;19:776-85.
- ²⁴ Potulska A, Friedman A, Krolicki L, et al. *Swallowing dis*orders in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 2003;9:349-53.
- ²⁵ Kyle G. *Managing dysphagia in older people with dementia*. Br J Community Nurs 2011;16:6-10.
- ²⁶ Diener U, Patti MG, Molena D, et al. *Esophageal dysmotility and gastroesophageal reflux disease.* J Gastrointest Surg 2001;5:260-5.
- ²⁷ Bril V, England J, Franklin GM, et al. Evidence-based guideline: treatment of painful diabetic neuropathy: report of the American Academy of Neurology, the American Association of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine, and the American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. Neurology 2011;76:1758-65.
- ²⁸ Cassiani RA, Santos CM, Baddini-Martinez J, et al. Oral and pharyngeal bolus transit in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis 2015;10:489-96.
- ²⁹ Kobayashi S, Kubo H, Yanai M. Impairment of swallowing in COPD. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2009;180:481.

- ³⁰ Preiksaitis HG, Mayrand S, Robins K, et al. Coordination of respiration and swallowing: effect of bolus volume in normal adults. Am J Physiol 1992;263:R624-30.
- ³¹ Kress S, Martin WR, Benz C, et al. *Dysphagia secondary to left atrial dilatation*. Z Gastroenterol 1997;35:1007-11.
- ³² Whitney B, Croxon R. *Dysphagia caused by cardiac enlargement*. Clin Radiol 1972;23:147-52.
- ³³ Kayatas M, Ustundag Y, Okudan B, et al. Evaluation of esophageal [correction of osephageal] motor function in chronic renal failure and the role of hemodialysis treatment. Nephron 2002;91:534.
- ³⁴ Dogan I, Unal S, Sindel S, et al. Esophageal motor dysfunction in chronic renal failure. Nephron 1996;72:346-7.
- ³⁵ Clave P, Verdaguer A, Arreola V. *Oral-pharyngeal dysphagia in the elderly*. Med Clin (Barc) 2005;124:742-8.
- ³⁶ Clave P, de Kraa M, Arreola V, et al. The effect of bolus viscosity on swallowing function in neurogenic dysphagia. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2006;24:1385-94.
- ³⁷ Cabre M, Serra-Prat M, Palomera E, et al. *Prevalence and prognostic implications of dysphagia in elderly patients with pneumonia.* Age Ageing 2010;39:39-45.
- ³⁸ Volkert D, Berner YN, Berry E, et al. ESPEN Guidelines on Enteral Nutrition: Geriatrics. Clin Nutr 2006;25:330-60.
- ³⁹ Finestone HM, Foley NC, Woodbury MG, et al. Quantifying fluid intake in dysphagic stroke patients: a preliminary comparison of oral and nonoral strategies. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2001;82:1744-6.
- ⁴⁰ Leibovitz A, Baumoehl Y, Lubart E, et al. Dehydration among long-term care elderly patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia. Gerontology 2007;53:179-83.
- ⁴¹ Wotton K, Crannitch K, Munt R. *Prevalence, risk factors and strategies to prevent dehydration in older adults.* Contemp Nurse 2008;31:44-56.
- ⁴² Sellars C, Bowie L, Bagg J, et al. *Risk factors for chest infection in acute stroke: a prospective cohort study.* Stroke 2007;38:2284-91.
- ⁴³ Smithard DG, O'Neill PA, Parks C, et al. Complications and outcome after acute stroke. Does dysphagia matter? Stroke 1996;27:1200-4.
- ⁴⁴ DiBardino DM, Wunderink RG. *Aspiration pneumonia: a review of modern trends*. J Crit Care 2015;30:40-8.
- ⁴⁵ Metheny NA, Schallom ME, Edwards SJ. *Effect of gastrointestinal motility and feeding tube site on aspiration risk in critically ill patients: a review.* Heart Lung 2004;33:131-45.
- ⁴⁶ Sirvent JM, Torres A, El-Ebiary M, et al. Protective effect of intravenously administered cefuroxime against nosocomial pneumonia in patients with structural coma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;155:1729-34.
- ⁴⁷ Dziewas R, Warnecke T, Schnabel M, et al. *Neuroleptic-induced dysphagia: case report and literature review*. Dysphagia 2007;22:63-7.
- ⁴⁸ Narhi TO, Meurman JH, Ainamo A. *Xerostomia and hyposalivation: causes, consequences and treatment in the elderly.* Drugs Aging 1999;15:103-16.
- ⁴⁹ Kumar NN, Panchaksharappa MG, Annigeri RG. *Modified*

schirmer test – a screening tool for xerostomia among subjects on antidepressants. Arch Oral Biol 2014;59:829-34.

- ⁵⁰ de Almeida Pdel V, Gregio AM, Brancher JA, et al. *Effects* of antidepressants and benzodiazepines on stimulated salivary flow rate and biochemistry composition of the saliva. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;106:58-65.
- ⁵¹ Rennard S, Fogarty C, Reisner C, et al. Randomized study of the safety, pharmacokinetics, and bronchodilatory efficacy of a proprietary glycopyrronium metered-dose inhaler in study patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. BMC Pulm Med 2014;14:118.
- ⁵² Beier J, Kirsten AM, Mroz R, et al. *Efficacy and safety of aclidinium bromide compared with placebo and tiotropium in patients with moderate-to-severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: results from a 6-week, randomized, controlled Phase IIIb study.* COPD 2013;10:511-22.
- ⁵³ Levin JA, Glick M. Dental management of patients with asthma. Compend Contin Educ Dent 1996;17:284, 7-8, 90 passim.
- ⁵⁴ Takakura AC, Moreira TS, Colombari DS, et al. Activation of alpha(2)-adrenoceptors in the lateral hypothalamus reduces pilocarpine-induced salivation in rats. Neurosci Lett 2009;450:225-8.
- ⁵⁵ Jensen SB, Pedersen AM, Reibel J, et al. *Xerostomia and hypofunction of the salivary glands in cancer therapy.* Support Care Cancer 2003;11:207-25.
- ⁵⁶ Peterson DE. Research advances in oral mucositis. Curr Opin Oncol 1999;11:261-6.
- ⁵⁷ Nederfors T, Nauntofte B, Twetman S. *Effects of furosemide and bendroflumethiazide on saliva flow rate and composition.* Arch Oral Biol 2004;49:507-13.
- ⁵⁸ Smidt D, Torpet LA, Nauntofte B, et al. Associations between oral and ocular dryness, labial and whole salivary flow rates, systemic diseases and medications in a sample of older people. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 2011;39:276-88.
- ⁵⁹ Mendhekar DN, Agarwal A. Paliperidone-induced dystonic Dysphagia. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 2010;22:451v e37-e37.
- ⁶⁰ Kohen I, Lester P. Quetiapine-associated dysphagia. World J Biol Psychiatry 2009;10:623-5.
- ⁶¹ Gelfond M, Rozen P, Gilat T. Isosorbide dinitrate and nifedipine treatment of achalasia: a clinical, manometric and radionuclide evaluation. Gastroenterology 1982;83:963-9.
- ⁶² Gelfond M, Rozen P, Keren S, et al. *Effect of nitrates on LOS pressure in achalasia: a potential therapeutic aid.* Gut 1981;22:312-8.
- ⁶³ Soto-Solis R. *Pill-induced esophagitis*. Rev Gastroenterol Mex 2015;80:160.
- ⁶⁴ Kikendall JW. *Pill esophagitis*. J Clin Gastroenterol 1999;28:298-305.
- ⁶⁵ Pinos T, Figueras C, Mas R. *Doxicycline-induced esophagitis: treatment with liquid sucralphate.* Am J Gastroenterol 1990;85:902-3.
- ⁶⁶ Scarpignato C, Hunt RH. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory

drug-related injury to the gastrointestinal tract: clinical picture, pathogenesis, and prevention. Gastroenterol Clin North Am 2010;39:433-64.

- ⁶⁷ Wallace JL. Pathogenesis of NSAID-induced gastroduodenal mucosal injury. Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol 2001;15:691-703.
- ⁶⁸ Bjarnason I, Scarpignato C, Takeuchi K, et al. *Determinants of the short-term gastric damage caused by NSAIDs in man.* Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2007;26:95-106.
- ⁶⁹ Wallace JL, Miller MJ. *Nitric oxide in mucosal defense: a little goes a long way*. Gastroenterology 2000;119:512-20.
- ⁷⁰ Wallace JL. *Physiological and pathophysiological roles of hydrogen sulfide in the gastrointestinal tract.* Antioxid Redox Signal 2010;12:1125-33.
- ⁷¹ Lichtenberger LM, Romero JJ, Gibson GW, et al. Effect of bisphosphonates on surface hydrophobicity and phosphatidylcholine concentration of rodent gastric mucosa. Dig Dis Sci 2000;45:1792-801.
- ⁷² Taggart H, Bolognese MA, Lindsay R, et al. Upper gastrointestinal tract safety of risedronate: a pooled analysis of 9 clinical trials. Mayo Clin Proc 2002;77:262-70.
- ⁷³ de Groen PC, Lubbe DF, Hirsch LJ, et al. *Esophagitis* associated with the use of alendronate. N Engl J Med 1996;335:1016-21.
- ⁷⁴ Channer KS, Virjee JP. The effect of formulation on oesophageal transit. J Pharm Pharmacol 1985;37:126-9.
- ⁷⁵ Pemberton J. Oesophageal obstruction and ulceration caused by oral potassium therapy. Br Heart J 1970;32:267-8.
- ⁷⁶ Wright D. Medication administration in nursing homes. Nurs Stand 2002;16:33-8.
- ⁷⁷ Morisky DE, Ang A, Krousel-Wood M, et al. *Predictive validity of a medication adherence measure in an outpatient setting.* J Clin Hypertens (Greenwich) 2008;10:348-54.
- ⁷⁸ Wroth TH, Pathman DE. Primary medication adherence in a rural population: the role of the patient-physician relationship and satisfaction with care. J Am Board Fam Med 2006;19:478-86.
- ⁷⁹ DiMatteo MR, Giordani PJ, Lepper HS, et al. *Patient adherence and medical treatment outcomes: a meta-analysis.* Med Care 2002;40:794-811.
- ⁸⁰ Sokol MC, McGuigan KA, Verbrugge RR, et al. Impact of medication adherence on hospitalization risk and healthcare cost. Med Care 2005;43:521-30.
- ⁸¹ Kelly J, D'Cruz G, Wright D. *Patients with dysphagia: experiences of taking medication*. J Adv Nurs 2010;66:82-91.
- ⁸² Kirkevold O, Engedal K. What is the matter with crushing pills and opening capsules? Int J Nurs Pract 2010;16:81-5.
- ⁸³ Kelly J, Wright D. Administering medication to adult patients with dysphagia. Nurs Stand 2009;23:62-8.
- ⁸⁴ Mitchell J. Dosage forms that should not be crushed or chewed. Hosp Pharm 2002;37:213-4.
- ⁸⁵ Morris H. Administering drugs to patients with swallowing difficulties. Nurs Times 2005 27;101:28-30.
- ⁸⁶ Kelly J, Wright D, Wood J. *Medication errors in patients with dysphagia.* Nurs Times 2012;108:12-4.
- ⁸⁷ Dabigatran: life-threatening bleeding. Prescrire Int

2013;22:41-3.

- ⁸⁸ Wright D, Chapman N, Foundling-Miah M, et al. Consensus guidelines on the medication management of adults with swallowing difficulties. Berkhamsted: Medenium Group Publishing Ltd. 2006.
- ⁸⁹ Simpson C. Crushed medications: an emerging guideline. Aust Nurs J 2005;13:21-3.
- ⁹⁰ Layne BA, Seibert DJ. Should medications be crushed? Pa Med 1998;101:16.
- ⁹¹ Crushing tablets or opening capsules: many uncertainties, some established dangers. Prescrire Int 2014;23:209-11, 13-4.
- ⁹² Phillips NM, Endacott R. Medication administration via enteral tubes: a survey of nurses' practices. J Adv Nurs 2011;67:2586-92.
- ⁹³ Beckwith MC, Feddema SS, Barton GR, et al. A guide to drug therapy in patient with enteral feeding tube: Dosage form selection and administraion methods. Hosp Pharm 2004;39:225-37.
- ⁹⁴ Magnuson BL, Clifford TM, Hoskins LA, et al. Enteral nutrition and drug administration, interactions, and complications. Nutr Clin Pract 2005;20:618-24.
- ⁹⁵ Morgan LM, Dickerson RN, Alexander KH, et al. Factors causing interrupted delivery of enteral nutrition in trauma intensive care unit patients. Nutr Clin Pract 2004;19:511-7.
- ⁹⁶ Gora ML, Tschampel MM, Visconti JA. Considerations of drug therapy in patients receiving enteral nutrition. Nutr Clin Pract 1989;4:105-10.
- ⁹⁷ Nyffeler MS, Frankel E, Hayes E, et al. *Drug-nutrient interactions.* In: Merritt R, DeLegge MH, Holcombe B, et al. (Eds.). *The ASPEN nutrition support practice manual.* 2nd ed. Silver Spring, MD: American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition 2005, pp. 118-36.
- ⁹⁸ Wright D. Swallowing difficulties protocol: medication administration. Nurs Stand 2002;17:43-5.
- ⁹⁹ Breitkreutz J, Boos J. *Paediatric and geriatric drug delivery*. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 2007;4:37-45.
- ¹⁰⁰ Diagnosis and treatment of swallowing disorders (dysphagia) in acute-care stroke patients. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Summ). 1999;Mar 8:1-6.
- ¹⁰¹ Jordan S, Griffiths H, Griffith R. Administration of medicines. Part 2: Pharmacology. Nurs Stand 2003;18:45-54; quiz 5-6.
- ¹⁰² Griffith R, Griffiths H, Jordan S. Administration of medicines. Part 1: The law and nursing. Nurs Stand 2003;18:47-53; quiz 4, 6.
- ¹⁰³ Kelly J, D'Cruz G, Wright D. A qualitative study of the problems surrounding medicine administration to patients with dysphagia. Dysphagia 2009;24:49-56.
- ¹⁰⁴ Robbins J, Kays S, McCallum S. Team management of dysphagia in the institutional setting. J Nutr Elder 2007;26:59-104.
- ¹⁰⁵ Legare F, Briere N, Stacey D, et al. Improving Decision making On Location of Care with the frail Elderly and their caregivers (the DOLCE study): study protocol for a cluster randomized controlled trial. Trials 2015;16:567.

- ¹⁰⁶ Http://www.usl3.toscana.it/allegati/disfagiacorretta_100526010818.pdf
- ¹⁰⁷ Farneti D. *Disordini della deglutizione nella pratica*

medica ambulatoriale. www.progettoasco.it/ riviste/riv-ista_simg/2004/03_2004/6.pdf