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#### Abstract

Preliminary analysis of the Hoyle-Gamma experiment is presented, where simultaneous gamma-particles detection for the $\alpha+{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \alpha+\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{*}+\gamma\right)$ reaction is used to suppress the background various orders of magnitude. This will allow us to measure very small $\Gamma$ partial widths of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$. Details of the method, the implementation and CHIMERA software analysis are presented as well as preliminary results.


## 1. Introduction

In previous measurements we have shown the possibility to measure gamma rays with CHIMERA detector array looking to the 4.44 decay of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}[1]$. To use such ability and the large solid angle covered by this detector array, we are interested in observing the gamma decay of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ states above the particle emission threshold, important for astrophysical studies [2], of the Hoyle (at 7.65 MeV ) and the 9.6 MeV states.

A multicoincidence technique analysis has been developed in order to obtain a very high rejection fraction of the background, necessary to measure the rare gamma decays of these states. The contemporary detection and identification of particles and gamma rays with the $4 \pi$ detector CHIMERA [3] guarantee the large efficiency necessary for such a method.

CHIMERA has 18 rings in the forward part (covering from $\approx 1^{\circ}$ to $30^{\circ}$ ), and 17 rings in the sphere $\left(\approx 30^{\circ}\right.$ to $\left.175^{\circ}\right)$. Using the azimuthal symmetry, each ring corresponds to a different $\theta$ value and the $\phi$ depends of the specific detector in a particular ring. The target is centered at the center of the sphere. As a convention we put the rings of the forward part in 9 groups with 2 sub-rings each, we differentiate between two sub-rings by tagging them with an "i" or an "e" referring to internal or external sub-ring (for example " 8 i " refers to ring 8 internal sub-ring). For
the sphere we continue the numeration of the rings up to 26 , starting from 10 . Here we don't need sub-rings tagging and as a convention we add an " S " at the beginning of the group number to obviate that we are referencing a ring on the sphere (for example "S14" refers to ring 14 on the sphere). To refer to any particular telescope in a given ring we put a number next to the ring that contains it, this number goes from zero to the number of detectors of that ring minus one, for example "S11 11" refers to the twelfth telescope on ring "S11". We can also address the telescopes by an absolute number going from 0 ("1i 0") to 1191 ("S26 7"), for example telescope "S11 11 " is equivalent to telescope 731 . The former way of addressing the telescopes is a ring relative form while the latter is an absolute form.

On this experiment a 64 MeV alpha beam was used to impinge a carbon target ( $106 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ), with the intention of populating various excitation levels of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and measuring the gamma ray angular correlation. On the following sections the suppression method and part of the analysis is shown using the explained conventions.

## 2. Suppression Method

There has been previous work in using CHIMERA for gamma rays identification in $[1,4]$. Measuring just the gamma rays will not give us any useful information about our system. We need to suppress the background using particle coincidences.

We can start by selecting the rings that should be in kinematic coincidence (using the azimuthal symmetry) and then get to the detector level. Table 1 gives the set of rings that should be in kinematical coincidence for several states in ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ including the Hoyle state, taking into account that the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ was able to punch through the target and the alpha particle was able to reach a CsI detector. The minimum and maximum rings for the residual particle $\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right)$ are due to the $\Delta \theta$ on the ejectile ring.

| $\alpha_{\text {ring }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ground } \\ & { }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\text {min }} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ | $\alpha_{\text {ring }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.4 \\ { }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\text {min }} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\max }$ | 7.65 |  |  | 9.64 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\alpha_{\text {ring }}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\text {min }}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ | $\alpha_{\text {ring }}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\text {min }}$ | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{\text {max }}$ |
| 9 i | S15 | S15 | 8 e | S14 | S14 | 8 i | S14 | S14 | 7 e | S14 | S14 |
| 9 e | S15 | S15 | 9 i | S14 | S14 | 8 e | S14 | S14 | 8 i | S14 | S14 |
| S10 | S14 | S15 | 9 e | S14 | S14 | 9 i | S14 | S14 | 8 e | S14 | S14 |
| S11 | S13 | S14 | S10 | S13 | S14 | 9 e | S14 | S14 | 9 i | S14 | S14 |
| S12 | S13 | S13 | S11 | S13 | S13 | S10 | S13 | S14 | 9 e | S13 | S14 |
| S13 | S12 | S13 | S12 | S12 | S13 | S11 | S13 | S13 | S10 | S13 | S13 |
| S14 | S11 | S12 | S13 | S12 | S12 | S12 | S12 | S13 | S11 | S12 | S13 |
| S15 | S11 | S11 | S14 | S11 | S12 | S13 | S12 | S12 | S12 | S12 | S12 |
| S16 | S10 | S11 | S15 | S11 | S11 | S14 | S11 | S12 | S13 | S11 | S12 |
| S17 | S10 | S10 | S16 | S10 | S11 | S15 | S11 | S11 | S14 | S11 | S11 |
| S18 | 9 e | S10 | S17 | S10 | S10 | S16 | S10 | S11 | S15 | S10 | S11 |
| S19 | 9 i | 9 e | S18 | 9 e | S10 | S17 | S10 | S10 | S16 | S10 | S10 |
| S20 | 8 e | 9 i | S19 | 9 i | 9 e | S18 | 9 e | S10 | S17 | S10 | S10 |

Table 1. Coincidences between rings of CHIMERA for the ground state and the first levels of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ (considering a 64 MeV alpha beam on ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ ).

As we can see from Table 1, we notice that most of the coincidences are in fact on the sphere, however given the distance from the target to the detector and the size of the detector we end up with a $\Delta \theta=8^{\circ}$ (nevertheless, a kinematical coincidence technique can greatly improve the angular resolution [5]), this means that for a specific reaction the alpha energy may vary up to 3 MeV for a given telescope on the sphere.

This energy spread is too large and can be very dangerous for the experiment we performed. We can recover from this and get a good resolution by adding the energies of both particles
(alpha and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ ) and obtaining the Q-value spectrum. This allows to make cuts around specific peaks (corresponding to ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ excited levels) and finding the corresponding alphas and carbon particles (see Figure 1, adapted from [6], as an example of a Q-value spectrum that we have to build once the calibration for each of the detectors is ready).

We can further improve the background suppression by kinematically selecting the detectors in coincidence as follows.


Figure 1. Q-value spectrum from a previous experiment [6] with $\mathrm{p}+{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ at 24 MeV .

In accordance to Table 1, in Figure 2 we see that if an alpha particle (ejectile, blue) is detected in ring " 8 i " (when populating the Hoyle state), then the corresponding ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is detected in ring "S14" at the sphere (red).


Figure 2. Rings in kinematic coincidence. An alpha particle arrives at ring " 8 i " (blue on the right) and the corresponding ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ arrives at "S14" on the sphere (red on the left). Specific detectors in coincidence are shown on yellow.

Figure 2 shows an example of a coincidence from two telescopes, shown in yellow ( $180^{\circ}$ apart
in $\phi$ ). Note that for a fixed alpha particle angle, the coincident ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ recoil could be detected (event by event) in different angularly close telescopes.

In order to properly profit from the $4 \pi$ nature of CHIMERA we need to take into account every telescope. For each telescope where a ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ has been detected, inside the specific cut for a specific excitation energy region (on the Q-value spectra) in coincidence with an alpha particle, we have to search for all the telescopes where the corresponding gamma rays on the CsI have been detected.

The better way to extract the angular distribution of emitted gamma rays is to consider as reference the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ recoil angle. Therefore we must determine the relative angle between a telescope where the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ has been detected and the one where a gamma ray has been detected. The nice symmetry for different rings corresponding to different theta values is broken. Section 2.1 speaks of software tools that have been developed in order to automate this and other tasks.

Figure 3 shows the results of such calculations in a specific case for a detector in ring " 8 i " (the yellow telescope in the middle where a ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is found). In red are marked the detectors that have a relative angle (with respect to the yellow one) close to $0^{\circ}$, in green the ones close to $90^{\circ}$ and in blue the ones close to $180^{\circ}$. The zero degree line is defined from the target to the center of the telescope where the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ has been detected.

We can take into account for the scarce statistics (specially expected for the 9.6 MeV state) by adding all the gamma histograms that come from the coincidences with a corresponding ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$. There should be 2 gamma rays for each ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ (for the aforementioned levels above the 4.4 MeV ), making in total a 4 fold particle coincidence increasing even more the background suppression.

A fraction of the gamma rays from the 9.6 MeV level could go directly to the ground state, making some of the coincidences 3 fold.

### 2.1. Software Development

The analysis is greatly simplified and sped up by the developed software named alchemist (previously named binCor). It uses isonav [7] as a kinematic engine. You can find alchemist under the isonavScripts folder provided at the link on the reference.

It receives as input a file with the reaction details, beam energy projectile isotope, residual isotope, excitation energy of the residual particle and target thickness and depending on the selected option it will output a table with the corresponding rings in coincidence, the energies of the particles before and, if chosen, the energy loss after the silicon detector. It also includes time of flight and specific detector operations. Table 1 as well Figures 2 and 3 where made with the help of this software. It also provides general information of CHIMERA and example generation.

## 3. Experimental procedure

The experiment was performed at the "Laboratori Nazionali del Sud" (INFN-LNS Catania, Italy). We used a 64 MeV alpha beam impinging on a natural carbon target $\left(\approx 98.9 \%{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}\right.$ and $\approx 1.1 \%{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ for our intended purposes).

The used natural carbon targets had densities of 50,69 and $106 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$. Having an approximate abundance in the order of one percent on the natural carbon, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ may play an important source of spurious reactions. We take this into account by using a pure ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ target as a control with $50 \mu \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ as density.

A hybrid acquisition system has been implemented (VME and GET [8]) as part of a continuous upgrade in order to satisfy our experimental needs.

The system was set to trigger on multiplicity $\geq 2$ on the silicons; of course the gamma rays arrive first but the rise-time of the CsI is so large $(\approx 1 \mu \mathrm{sec})$ that the charged particles signals catch up and get in time for the triggering.


Figure 3. Relative angle of all the telescopes in CHIMERA with respect to the yellow one (in the middle).

## 4. Preliminary results

Figure 4 shows a Si vs fast (CsI) spectra on the 731 telescope ("S11 11"). We can clearly see the regions of $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}$, t , the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ and the alpha. We are also able to fairly distinguish the different alphas corresponding to the different excitation levels, given the angular coverage of the detector, the energies are not well resolved as in [9] but, as it has been previously mentioned, the Q-value spectra will help us overcome any overlaps between levels.


Figure 4. Si-fast spectra of the 731 telescope ("S11 11"). Alpha and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ particles are seen at the top while the 3 regions at the bottom correspond to $\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}$ (protons, deuterons and tritons).

If we choose a specific energy to study (for example the Hoyle state) and select the alpha particles on a particular telescope (in this case 731 or "S11 11") we could also locate the corresponding detector where the residual ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ particle should be found. We know from Table 1 that for this reaction "S11" (ejectile) ring is in kinematic coincidence with ring "S13" (residual).

More specifically "S11 11" (731) and "S13 27" (811) should be in kinematic coincidence for the selected reaction (in a similar manner as in Figure 2).

Figure 5 shows a comparison of two dE vs tof plots of telescopes that are close by in the same ring, 811 ("S13 27") and 808 ("S13 24"). As aforementioned, given the telescope 731 the kinematic coincidence points to telescope 811 (Figure 5.a shows the carbons), that means that there cannot be carbons in other telescopes even if they are close by, this is demonstrated in Figure 5.b.

However alpha particles were seen, as expected from the fragmentation of the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{*}$, in all detectors. Figures 5.a and 5.b show these.


Figure 5. dE vs tof for detectors 811 (in kinematic coincidence) and 808 (not in coincidence). Alpha particles from ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{*}$ can be seen in both plots.

In accordance to Table 1 if the ejectile reached a detector in ring S 13 then, for both the ground and the 4.44 levels, the carbon in kinematic coincidence should be in ring S12. This can readely be shown in Figure 6 where the carbon energy on the front silicon detector is shown for telescope 774 (S12 22) versus the energy (in channels) deposited by the ejectile (alpha) particle from telescope 790 (S13 6). The region enclosed by the polygon is the ground state whereas the region to the left corresponds to the 4.44 level. The energy spread is due to the angular span of the telescopes.

It is important to mention that given the high number of detectors involved in CHIMERA, not all of the detectors were expected to work properly during all the runs. Therefore, in order


Figure 6. Carbon energy [MeV] in Si (telescope 790) vs alpha energy [channels] in CsI. (telescope 774)
to protect the trustworthiness of the data, we simply avoid using these detectors at all (at least in this initial analysis) and we will take this into account in the efficiency calculation.

## 5. Conclusions

We showed how the granularity challenge of the high angular coverage for each of the telescopes in the sphere can be overcomed by the Q-value plot (still pending for this experiment). And how subsequent background suppressions can be performed by means of kinematic coincidences, while at the same time take into account, telescope by telescope, the angular distribution of the gamma rays.

Up to a 4 fold coincidence can be obtained by simultaneous measurements of charged particles with gamma rays, making the technique a good background suppression tool.

We were able to acquire the data with a hybrid system successfully and performed basic analysis allowing us to confirm the reliability of the data in order to make the final analysis.

The analysis software seems to work properly and will help to speed up the process. It is under continuous improvement, future upgrades will provide more options and a better graphic display. It allows to immediately start handling similar types of experiments, where a binary reaction is studied with CHIMERA.
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