

ON UNIFORMLY RESOLVABLE $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}\}$ -DESIGNS

GIOVANNI LO FARO ^a, SALVATORE MILICI ^{b*} AND ANTOINETTE TRIPODI ^a

ABSTRACT. Given a collection of graphs \mathcal{H} , a uniformly resolvable \mathcal{H} -design of order v is a decomposition of the edges of K_v into isomorphic copies of graphs from \mathcal{H} (also called *blocks*) in such a way that all blocks in a given parallel class are isomorphic to the same graph from \mathcal{H} . We consider the case $\mathcal{H} = \{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}\}$ and prove that the necessary conditions for the existence of such designs are also sufficient.

1. Introduction

Given a collection of graphs \mathcal{H} , an \mathcal{H} -design of order v (also called an \mathcal{H} -decomposition of K_v) is a decomposition of the edges of K_v into isomorphic copies of graphs from \mathcal{H} , the copies of $H \in \mathcal{H}$ in the decomposition are called *blocks*. An \mathcal{H} -design is called *resolvable* if it is possible to partition the blocks into *classes* \mathcal{P}_i such that every vertex of K_v appears exactly once in some block of each \mathcal{P}_i .

A resolvable \mathcal{H} -decomposition of K_v is sometimes also referred to as an \mathcal{H} -factorization of K_v , a class can be called an \mathcal{H} -factor of K_v . When $\mathcal{H} = \{K_2\}$ we speak of 1-factorization of K_v and it is well known to exist if and only if v is even. A single class of a 1-factorization is also known as a 1-factor or a *perfect matching*. A resolvable \mathcal{H} -design is called *uniform* if every block of the class is isomorphic to the same graph from \mathcal{H} . Of particular note is the result of Rees (1987) who gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of uniformly resolvable $\{K_2, K_3\}$ -designs of order v . Uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v have also been studied by Danziger *et al.* (2009), Dinitz *et al.* (2009), Schuster (2009a,b), Schuster and Ge (2010), Gionfriddo and Milici (2013), Milici (2013), Schuster (2013), Gionfriddo and Milici (2014), Milici and Tuza (2014), Küçükçifçi *et al.* (2015a,b), Lo Faro *et al.* (2015), and Gionfriddo *et al.* (2016). In what follows, we will denote by $[a; a_1, \dots, a_k]$, $k \geq 2$, the k -star $K_{1,k}$ having vertex set $\{a, a_1, \dots, a_k\}$ and edge set $\{\{a, a_1\}, \{a, a_2\}, \dots, \{a, a_k\}\}$. A resolvable $K_{1,2}$ -design (i.e., P_3 -design) of order v exists if and only if $v \equiv 9 \pmod{12}$ (see Horton 1985), while there exists no $K_{1,3}$ -design (see Küçükçifçi *et al.* 2015a). Denoted by $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($v; r, s$) a uniformly resolvable decomposition of K_v into r classes containing only copies of 2-stars $K_{1,2}$ and s classes containing only copies of 3-stars $K_{1,3}$, here we study the existence problem when r and s are positive integers and so, from now on, we assume $r, s > 0$ and, necessarily, $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. Let URD($v; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}$) be the set of all

pairs (r, s) such that there exists a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD $(v; r, s)$, and given $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$, let

$$J(v) = \left\{ \left(6 + 9x, 2 + \frac{2(v-12)}{3} - 8x \right) : x = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{v-12}{12} \right\}$$

in this paper we characterize the existence of uniformly resolvable $\{K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}\}$ -designs, by proving the following result:

Main Theorem. *A $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD $(v; r, s)$ exists if and only if $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ and URD $(v; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}) = J(v)$.*

2. Preliminaries and necessary conditions

In this section we will introduce some useful definitions, results and give necessary conditions for the existence of a uniformly resolvable decomposition of K_v into r classes of $K_{1,2}$ and s classes of $K_{1,3}$. For missing terms or results that are not explicitly explained in the paper, the reader is referred to the handbook of Colbourn and Dinitz (2007) and its [online updates](#). For some results below, we also cite this handbook instead of the original papers. A (resolvable) \mathcal{H} -decomposition of the complete multipartite graph with u parts each of size g is known as a resolvable group divisible design \mathcal{H} -RGDD of type g^u , the parts of size g are called the groups of the design. When $\mathcal{H} = \{K_n\}$ we will call it an n -(R)GDD. A $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD (r, s) of type g^u is a uniformly resolvable decomposition of the complete multipartite graph with u parts each of size g into r classes containing only copies of $K_{1,2}$ and s classes containing only copies of $K_{1,3}$.

If the blocks of an \mathcal{H} -GDD of type g^u can be partitioned into partial parallel classes, each of which contain all vertices except those of one group, we refer to the decomposition as a *frame*. When $\mathcal{H} = \{K_n\}$ we will call it an n -*frame* and it is easy to deduce that the number of partial factors missing a specified group G is $\frac{|G|}{n-1}$.

An incomplete resolvable $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -decomposition of K_{v+h} with a hole of size h is an $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -decomposition of $K_{v+h} \setminus K_h$ in which there are two types of classes, *partial* classes which cover every vertex except those in the hole (the vertices of K_h are referred to as the *hole*) and *full* classes which cover every vertex of K_{v+h} . Specifically, a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -IURD $(v+h, h; [r_1, s_1], [\bar{r}_1, \bar{s}_1])$ is a uniformly resolvable $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -decomposition of $K_{v+h} \setminus K_h$ with r_1 and s_1 partial classes of $K_{1,2}$ and $K_{1,3}$, respectively, and \bar{r}_1 and \bar{s}_1 full classes of $K_{1,2}$ and $K_{1,3}$, respectively.

We now recall some results that can be used to produce the main result.

Theorem 2.1. (Milici and Tuza 2014) *Let $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $v \geq 9$. The union of any two edge-disjoint parallel classes of 3-cycles of K_v can be decomposed into three parallel classes of $K_{1,2}$.*

We also need the following definitions. Let (s_1, t_1) and (s_2, t_2) be two pairs of non-negative integers. Define $(s_1, t_1) + (s_2, t_2) = (s_1 + s_2, t_1 + t_2)$. If X and Y are two sets of pairs of non-negative integers, then $X + Y$ denotes the set $\{(s_1, t_1) + (s_2, t_2) : (s_1, t_1) \in X, (s_2, t_2) \in Y\}$. If X is a set of pairs of non-negative integers and h is a positive integer, then $h * X$ denotes the set of all pairs of non-negative integers which can be obtained by adding any h elements of X together (repetitions of elements of X are allowed).

Lemma 2.2. *Let $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$. If there exists a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($v; r, s$), then $(r, s) \in J(v)$.*

Proof. The condition $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ is trivial. Let D be a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v . Counting the edges of K_v that appear in D we obtain

$$\frac{2rv}{3} + \frac{3sv}{4} = \frac{v(v-1)}{2},$$

and hence that

$$8r + 9s = 6(v-1). \quad (1)$$

Since $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$, Equation (1) implies $8r \equiv 3 \pmod{9}$, $9s \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$, and so $r \equiv 6 \pmod{9}$, $s \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. Letting now $r = 6 + 9x$, the equation (1) yields $9s = 6(v-1) - 48 - 72x$. Then we obtain $s = 2 + \frac{2(v-12)}{3} - 8x$, where $8x \leq \frac{2(v-12)}{3}$ since s is a positive integer. This completes the proof. \square

3. Small cases

Lemma 3.1. $URD(12; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}) = \{(6, 2)\}$.

Proof. Let $V(K_{12}) = \{0, 1, \dots, 11\}$ be the vertex set and the classes listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(0; 1, 2, 3), (4; 5, 6, 7), (8; 9, 10, 11)\}, \{(1; 8, 2, 3), (5; 0, 6, 7), (9; 4, 10, 11)\}, \\ &\{(0; 4, 9), (1; 5, 6), (2; 8, 11), (3; 7, 10)\}, \{(4; 1, 8), (5; 9, 10), (6; 0, 3), (7; 2, 11)\}, \\ &\{(8; 0, 5), (9; 1, 2), (10; 4, 7), (11; 3, 6)\}, \{(2; 4, 5), (6; 7, 10), (3; 9, 8), (11; 0, 1)\}, \\ &\{(6; 9, 8), (10; 11, 2), (7; 0, 1), (3; 4, 5)\}, \{(10; 0, 1), (2; 3, 6), (11; 4, 5), (7; 8, 9)\}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 12^2 with $(r, s) \in \{(9, 0), (0, 8)\}$.*

Proof. The case $(9, 0)$ corresponds to a $K_{1,2}$ -factorization of $K_{12,12}$ which is known to exist (Ushio 1988). The case $(0, 8)$ corresponds to a $K_{1,3}$ -factorization of $K_{12,12}$ which is known to exist (Chen and Cao 2016). \square

Lemma 3.3. *There exists a $(C_3, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 4^3 with $(r, s) \in \{(1, 4), (4, 0)\}$.*

Proof. The case $(4, 0)$ corresponds to a 3-RGDD of type 4^3 which is known to exist (Rees and Stinson 1987). For the case $(1, 4)$ take the groups to be $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_3\}$, $\{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_3\}$ and $\{c_0, c_1, \dots, c_3\}$ and the classes listed below:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(a_i; b_{i+1}, b_{i+2}, b_{i+3}), (b_i; c_{i+1}, c_{i+2}, c_{i+3}), (c_i; a_{i+1}, a_{i+2}, a_{i+3}), i \in \mathbb{Z}_4\}, \\ &\{(a_i, b_i, c_i), i \in \mathbb{Z}_4\} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a $(C_3, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 12^3 with $(r, s) \in \{(12, 0), (9, 4), (6, 8), (3, 12), (0, 16)\}$.*

Proof. The case $(0, 16)$ corresponds to a $K_{1,3}$ -factorization of $K_{12,12,12}$ which is known to exist (Küçükçifçi *et al.* 2015b). For all the other cases take a 3-RGDD \mathcal{D} of type 3^3 which is known to exist (Rees and Stinson 1987). Expand each vertex 4 times and for each block b of a given factor of \mathcal{D} place on $b \times \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ a copy of a $(C_3, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 4^3 with $(r, s) \in \{(1, 4), (4, 0)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.3. Since

\mathcal{D} contains three factors, the result is a $(C_3, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 12^3 , for every $(r, s) \in 3 * \{(4, 0), (1, 4)\} = \{(12, 0), (9, 4), (6, 8), (3, 12)\}$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *There exists a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 12^3 with $(r, s) \in \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\}$.*

Proof. Take a $(C_3, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 12^3 with $(r, s) \in \{(12, 0), (6, 8), (0, 16)\}$. Since, by Theorem 2.1, each two parallel classes of C_3 can be decomposed into three parallel classes of $K_{1,2}$ we obtain the result. \square

Lemma 3.6. $URD(36; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}) = \{(24, 2), (15, 10), (6, 18)\}$.

Proof. Start from a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 12^3 with $(r, s) \in \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.5, and fill the three groups of size 12 with a copy of a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($12; 6, 2$), which exists by Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.7. *There exists a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -IURD($36, 12; [6, 2], [r, s]$) for every $(r, s) \in \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\}$.*

Proof. Start from a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 12^3 with $(r, s) \in \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.5, and fill in two groups of size 12 with a copy of a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($12; 6, 2$), which exists by Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.8. $URD(60; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}) = J(60) = \{(42, 2), (33, 10), (24, 18), (15, 26), (6, 34)\}$.

Proof. For the case $(6, 34)$ start from a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD($0, 32$), which is known to exist (Küçükçifçi et al. 2015b), and fill the five groups of size 12 with a copy of a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($12; 6, 2$), which exists by Lemma 3.1. For all the other cases take a 3-RGDD \mathcal{D} of type 3^5 which is known to exist (Rees and Stinson 1987). Expand each vertex 4 times and for each block b of a given factor of \mathcal{D} place on $b \times \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ a copy of a $(C_3, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r_1, s_1) of type 4^3 with $(r_1, s_1) \in \{(1, 4), (4, 0)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.3. This gives, since \mathcal{D} contains six factors, a $(C_3, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r_2, s_2) of type 12^5 , for every $(r_2, s_2) \in 6 * \{(4, 0), (1, 4)\} = \{(24, 0), (21, 4), (18, 8), (15, 12), (12, 16), (9, 20), (6, 24)\}$. Applying Theorem 2.1 we obtain a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r_3, s_3) of type 12^5 , for every $(r_3, s_3) \in \{(36, 0), (27, 8), (18, 16), (9, 24)\}$. Fill in each group of size 12 with a copy of a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($12; 6, 2$), which exists by Lemma 3.1. This gives a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($60; r, s$) for every $(r, s) \in \{(6, 2)\} + \{(36, 0), (27, 8), (18, 16), (9, 24)\} = J(60)$. \square

4. Main results

Lemma 4.1. *For every $v \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, $J(v) \subseteq URD(v; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$.*

Proof. For $v \geq 24$ start with a 2-RGDD G of type $1^{\frac{v}{12}}$ (Colbourn and Dinitz 2007). Give weight 12 to each vertex of this 2-RGDD and place on each edge of a given resolution class the same $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r, s) of type 12^2 , with $(r, s) \in \{(9, 0), (0, 8)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.2. Fill the groups of sizes 12 with the same $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($12; 6, 2$), which

exists by Lemma 3.1. Since G contains $\frac{v-12}{12}$ resolution classes the result is a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($v; r, s$) of K_v for each $(r, s) \in \{(6, 2)\} + \frac{v-12}{12} * \{(9, 0), (0, 8)\}$. This implies

$$URD(v; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}) \supseteq \{(6, 2)\} + \frac{(v-12)}{12} * \{(9, 0), (0, 8)\}.$$

Since $\frac{v-12}{12} * \{(9, 0), (0, 8)\} = \left\{ \left(9x, \frac{2(v-12)}{3} - 8x \right), x = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{v-12}{12} \right\}$, it easy to see that $\{(6, 2)\} + \frac{(v-12)}{12} * \{(9, 0), (0, 8)\} = J(v)$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. For every $v \equiv 12 \pmod{24}$, $v \neq 60$, $J(v) \subseteq URD(v; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$.

Proof. For $v = 12, 36$ the conclusion follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.6. For $v > 60$ start with a 2-frame F of type $2 \frac{v-12}{24}$ (Schuster and Ge 2010) with groups G_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v-12}{24}$. For $j = 1, 2$ let $p_{i,j}$ be the partial parallel classes which miss the group G_i . Expand each vertex 12 times and add a set H of 12 ideal vertices a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{12} . For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{v-12}{24}$, place on $G_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, 12\} \cup H$ a copy \mathcal{D}_i of a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -IURD($36, 12; [6, 2], [r, s]$), with $(r, s) \in \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\}$ (which exists by Lemma 3.7). For each $b \in p_{i,j}$, place on $b \times \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$ a copy $\mathcal{D}_{i,j}^b$ of a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URGDD(r_1, s_1) of type 12^2 with $(r_1, s_1) \in \{(9, 0), (0, 8)\}$, which exists by Lemma 3.2. Now combine all together the factors of $\mathcal{D}_{i,j}^b$, $b \in p_{i,j}$, along with the factors of \mathcal{D}_i so to obtain r_2 $K_{1,2}$ -factors and s_2 $K_{1,3}$ -factors with $(r_2, s_2) \in \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\}$, on $H \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{v-12}{24}} G_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, 12\})$. Fill the hole H with a copy \mathcal{D} of $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($12; 6, 2$) and combine the factors of \mathcal{D} with the partial factors of \mathcal{D}_i so to obtain 6 $K_{1,2}$ -factors and 2 $K_{1,3}$ -factors on $H \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^{\frac{v-12}{24}} G_i \times \{1, 2, \dots, 12\})$. The result is a $(K_{1,2}, K_{1,3})$ -URD($v; r, s$) for each $(r, s) \in \{(6, 2)\} + \frac{v-12}{24} * \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\}$. This implies

$$URD(v; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}) \supseteq \{(6, 2)\} + \frac{v-12}{24} * \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\}.$$

Since $\frac{v-12}{24} * \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\} = \left\{ \left(9x, \frac{2(v-12)}{3} - 8x \right) : x = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{v-12}{12} \right\}$, it easy to see that $\{(6, 2)\} + \frac{v-12}{24} * \{(18, 0), (9, 8), (0, 16)\} = J(v)$. This completes the proof. \square

5. Conclusion

We are now in position to prove the main result of the paper.

Theorem 5.1. For every $v \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$, $URD(v; K_{1,2}, K_{1,3}) = J(v)$.

Proof. Necessity follows from Lemma 2.2. Sufficiency follows from Lemmas 3.8, 4.1 and 4.2. \square

Remark. Note that the existence of uniformly resolvable $\{K_{1,k}, K_{1,k+1}\}$ -designs with $k > 2$ is currently under investigation.

Acknowledgments

To our friend Mario, with love and gratitude.

This work was supported in part by Fir 2014 “Block-designs and Graph-designs - their Application”, Università di Catania (S. Milici), and in part by I.N.D.A.M. (G.N.S.A.G.A.).

References

- Chen, F. and Cao, H. (2016). “Uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v into K_2 and $K_{1,3}$ graphs”. *Discrete Mathematics* **339**(8), 2056–2062. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2016.02.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2016.02.016).
- Colbourn, C. J. and Dinitz, J. H. (2007). *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, Second Edition*. Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Danziger, P., Quattrocchi, G., and Stevens, B. (2009). “The Hamilton-Waterloo problem for cycle sizes 3 and 4”. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs* **17**(4), 342–352. DOI: [10.1002/jcd.20219](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcd.20219).
- Dinitz, J. H., Ling, A. C. H., and Danziger, P. (2009). “Maximum uniformly resolvable designs with block sizes 2 and 4”. *Discrete Mathematics* **309**(14), 4716–4721. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2008.05.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.05.040).
- Gionfriddo, M., Lo Faro, G., Milici, S., and Tripodi, A. (2016). “On the existence of uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v into 1-factors and h -suns”. *Utilitas Mathematica* **99**, 331–339.
- Gionfriddo, M. and Milici, S. (2013). “On the existence of uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v and $K_v - I$ into paths and kites”. *Discrete Mathematics* **313**(23), 2830–2834. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2013.08.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2013.08.023).
- Gionfriddo, M. and Milici, S. (2014). “Uniformly resolvable \mathcal{H} -designs with $\mathcal{H} = \{P_3, P_4\}$ ”. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* **60**(3), 325–332. URL: https://ajc.maths.uq.edu.au/?page=get_volumes&volume=60.
- Horton, J. D. (1985). “Resolvable path designs”. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **39**(2), 117–131. DOI: [10.1016/0097-3165\(85\)90033-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(85)90033-0).
- Küçükçi, S., Lo Faro, G., Milici, S., and Tripodi, A. (2015a). “Resolvable 3-star designs”. *Discrete Mathematics* **338**(4), 608–614. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2014.11.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2014.11.013).
- Küçükçi, S., Milici, S., and Tuza, Z. (2015b). “Maximum uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v and $K_v - I$ into 3-stars and 3-cycles”. *Discrete Mathematics* **338**(10), 1667–1673. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2014.05.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2014.05.016).
- Lo Faro, G., Milici, S., and Tripodi, A. (2015). “Uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v into paths on two, three and four vertices”. *Discrete Mathematics* **338**(12), 2212–2219. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2015.05.030](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2015.05.030).
- Milici, S. (2013). “A note on uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v and $K_v - I$ into 2-stars and 4-cycles”. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics* **56**, 195–200. URL: https://ajc.maths.uq.edu.au/?page=get_volumes&volume=56.
- Milici, S. and Tuza, Z. (2014). “Uniformly resolvable decompositions of K_v into P_3 and K_3 graphs”. *Discrete Mathematics* **331**, 137–141. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2014.05.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2014.05.010).
- Rees, R. (1987). “Uniformly resolvable pairwise balanced designs with block sizes two and three”. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **45**(2), 207–225. DOI: [10.1016/0097-3165\(87\)90015-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(87)90015-X).
- Rees, R. and Stinson, D. R. (1987). “On resolvable group divisible designs with block size 3”. *Ars Combinatoria* **23**, 107–120.
- Schuster, E. (2009a). “Uniformly resolvable designs with index one and block sizes three and five and up to five with blocks of size five”. *Discrete Mathematics* **309**(13), 4435–4442. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2009.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2009.02.003).
- Schuster, E. (2009b). “Uniformly resolvable designs with index one and block sizes three and four with three or five parallel classes of block size four”. *Discrete Mathematics* **309**(8), 2452–2465. DOI: [10.1016/j.disc.2008.05.057](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2008.05.057).
- Schuster, E. (2013). “Small uniformly resolvable designs for block sizes 3 and 4”. *Journal of Combinatorial Designs* **21**(11), 481–523. DOI: [10.1002/jcd.21361](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcd.21361).

- Schuster, E. and Ge, G. (2010). “On uniformly resolvable designs with block sizes 3 and 4”. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* **57**(1), 45–69. DOI: [10.1007/s10623-009-9348-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-009-9348-1).
- Ushio, K. (1988). “ P_3 -factorization of complete bipartite graphs”. *Discrete Mathematics* **72**(1-3), 361–366. DOI: [10.1016/0012-365X\(88\)90227-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(88)90227-0).

^a Università degli Studi di Messina
Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche e Informatiche, Scienze Fisiche e Scienze della Terra
Contrada Papardo, 98166 Messina, Italy

^b Università degli Studi di Catania
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
viale Andrea Doria, 95125 Catania, Italy

* To whom correspondence should be addressed | email: milici@dmf.unict.it

Paper contributed to the conference entitled “Hypergraphs, Graphs and Designs - HyGraDe 2017”, which was held at Sant’Alessio Siculo, Messina, Italy (20–24 June 2017) under the patronage of the *Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti*

Manuscript received 20 November 2017; accepted 21 March 2018; published online 20 November 2018



© 2018 by the author(s); licensee *Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti* (Messina, Italy). This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).