

Impact of the M184V/I Mutation on the Efficacy of Abacavir/Lamivudine/Dolutegravir Therapy in HIV Treatment-Experienced Patients

Flaminia Olearo,^{1,a,©} Huyen Nguyen,^{2,3,a} Fabrice Bonnet,^{4,5} Sabine Yerly,⁶ Gilles Wandeler,⁷ Marcel Stoeckle,⁸ Matthias Cavassini,⁹ Alexandra Scherrer,^{2,3} Dominique Costagiola,¹⁰ Patrick Schmid,¹¹ Huldrych F Günthard,^{2,3} Enos Bernasconi,¹² Jürg Boeni,³ Antonella D'Arminio Monforte,¹³ Maurizio Zazzi,¹⁴ Barbara Rossetti,¹⁵ Didier Neau,⁵ Pantxika Bellecave,¹⁶ Bart Rijnders,¹⁷ Peter Reiss,¹⁷ Ferdinand Wit,¹⁷ Roger Kouyos,^{2,3,b} and Alexandra Calmy^{1,b}

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals and Faculty of Medicine, Switzerland; ²Division of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, Switzerland; ³Institute of Medical Virology, Swiss National Reference Centre for Retroviruses, University of Zurich, Switzerland; ⁴University of Bordeaux, Institut de Santé Publique d'Epidémiologie et de Développement (ISPED), U1219 INSERM, France; ⁵Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux, Service de Médecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses, France; ⁵Laboratory of Virology, Geneva University Hospitals and Faculty of Medicine, Switzerland; ⁷Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University Hospital of Bern, Switzerland; ⁸Division of Infectious Diseases, Lausanne University Hospital, Switzerland; ¹⁰Division of Infectious Diseases, and Hospital Epidemiology, St. Gallen Cantonal Hospital, Switzerland; ¹²Division of Infectious Diseases, Institute of Infectious and Tropical Medicine, L'Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Sentes, Siena, Italy; ¹⁶Viology Laboratory, Of Milan, Italy; ¹⁴Department of Medical Biotechnology, University of Siena, Italy; ¹⁵Infectious Diseases, Lauses University Medical Center, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Objective. The impact of the M184V/I mutation on the virological failure (VF) rate in HIV-positive patients with suppressed viremia switching to an abacavir/lamivudine/dolutegravir regimen has been poorly evaluated.

Method. This is an observational study from 5 European HIV cohorts among treatment-experienced adults with \leq 50 copies/mL of HIV-1 RNA who switched to abacavir/lamivudine/dolutegravir. Primary outcome was the time to first VF (2 consecutive HIV-1 RNA >50 copies/mL or single HIV-1 RNA >50 copies/mL accompanied by change in antiretroviral therapy [ART]). We also analyzed a composite outcome considering the presence of VF and/or virological blips. We report also the results of an inverse probability weighting analysis on a restricted population with a prior history of VF on any ART regimen to calculate statistics standardized to the disparate sampling population.

Results. We included 1626 patients (median follow-up, 288.5 days; interquartile range, 154–441). Patients with a genotypically documented M184V/I mutation (n = 137) had a lower CD4 nadir and a longer history of antiviral treatment. The incidence of VF was 29.8 cases (11.2–79.4) per 1000 person-years in those with a previously documented M184V/I, and 13.6 cases (8.4–21.8) in patients without documented M184V/I. Propensity score weighting in a restricted population (n = 580) showed that M184V/I was not associated with VF or the composite endpoint (hazard ratio [HR], 1.27; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.35–4.59 and HR 1.66; 95% CI, 0.81–3.43, respectively).

Conclusions. In ART-experienced patients switching to an abacavir/lamivudine/dolutegravir treatment, we observed few VFs and found no evidence for an impact of previously-acquired M184V/I mutation on this outcome. Additional analyses are required to demonstrate whether these findings will remain robust during a longer follow-up.

Key words. ABC/3TC/DTG; M184V/I; treatment-experienced patients; virological failure.

INTRODUCTION

Integrase strand transfer inhibitors have been widely prescribed and represent the preferred first-line antiretroviral

4 Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil, 1211 Geneva 14, Switzerland (flaminiaolearo@hotmail.com). ^aF. O. and H. N. contributed equally to this manuscript.

^bA. C. and R. K. contributed equally to this manuscript.

regimen in most major guidelines for HIV-positive patients [1, 2]. In combination with 2 nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs), dolutegravir (DTG) was found to be superior to boosted protease inhibitors (PI/r) or a nonnucleoside NRTI-based regimen in large clinical trials [3, 4]. DTG also has been very effective in treatment-experienced patients due to its high resistance barrier [5, 6]. More recently, a randomized trial of rilpivirine-DTG dual therapy in treatment-experienced patients was shown to be noninferior for the maintenance of viral suppression when compared to continuing conventional 3-drug combination antiretroviral regimens [7]. However, the initial enthusiasm regarding the widespread use of DTG has been tempered by a number of factors, including side effects such as neuropsychiatric disturbances, emergent resistance

Received 28 May 2019; editorial decision 10 July 2019; accepted 10 July 2019. Correspondence: F. Olearo, MD, Division of Infectious Diseases, Geneva University Hospitals,

Open Forum Infectious Diseases®

[©] The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by-nc-nd/4.0/), which permits non-commercial reproduction and distribution of the work, in any medium, provided the original work is not altered or transformed in any way, and that the work is properly cited. For commercial re-use, please contact journals.permissions@oup.com D0I: 10.1093/ofid/ofz330

when given in monotherapy, and possible teratogenicity in early pregnancy [8–10]. Although DTG monotherapy was found to be noninferior to combination antiretroviral therapy (ART) in the first 24 weeks, virological failure (VF) occurred thereafter and led to the emergence of DTG resistance [9]. Similar results have been found in the DOLAM trial where a higher risk of VF was observed with DTG monotherapy compared with dual lamivudine (3TC)/DTG and triple ART [11]. At present, DTG is not recommended as maintenance monotherapy [12]. However, its use in monotherapy was shown to be effective in patients conventionally treated during primary HIV infection and in whom treatment was thereafter simplified to DTG monotherapy [13].

Although DTG uptake has occurred at an unprecedented pace, the impact of past NRTI mutations in treatmentexperienced patients switching to an abacavir (ABC)/3TC/ DTG regimen has been only partially explored. Furthermore, the 2015 changes in the French National Agency for AIDS Research resistance algorithms [14] highlighted the risk of the impaired efficacy of ABC in the presence of the M184V/I mutation, as previously observed for 3TC. Thus, the clinical decision to switch to an ABC/3TC/DTG regimen could be influenced by a patient having evidence of harboring a M184V/I mutation, which leads to 3TC resistance and may potentially impair the effectiveness of both 3TC and, to a lesser extent ABC, thus resulting in a treatment representing functional DTG monotherapy.

We conducted a prospective study using data from 5 large HIV cohorts in four European countries (France, Italy, the Netherlands and Switzerland) to assess the efficacy of the ABC/3TC/DTG regimen in virologically-suppressed, ARTexperienced patients, with or without a previously documented M184V/I mutation.

METHODS

Study Design

We conducted an observational longitudinal analysis of prospectively collected data from 5 different HIV European cohorts: (1) ANRS-CO3 Aquitaine for HIV-positive French patients [15]; (2) Antiviral Response Cohort Analysis (ARCA) containing data on HIV resistance in Italy [16]; (3) AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands (ATHENA) [17]; (4) the Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naïve Patients (ICONA) [18]; and (5) the Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS) [19]. All patients provided informed consent for the use of their clinical and laboratory data for research purposes according to country-specific requirements. Data from the different sources were exchanged and pooled according to the HIV Cohorts Data Exchange Protocol standard in a pseudonymized form in compliance with national ethical principles and privacy legislation governing the individual cohorts [20].

Patient Selection

Observations spanned from when DTG became available in each cohort country (January 16, 2014, in the Netherlands; May 1, 2014, in France; May 8, 2014, in Switzerland; and October 10, 2014, in Italy) until February 2018. Eligible patients were 18 years of age or older, treatment-experienced HIV patients with least 1 resistance profile available before the start of the ABC/3TC/DTG regimen, a plasma HIV-1 RNA level \leq 50 copies/mL at the time of the switch, and at least 1 HIV RNA assessment following the start of the ABC/3TC/DTG regimen. The selection process was conducted by each cohort according to the inclusion criteria, and the cohort-specific data were then transferred to the SHCS to be merged and analyzed. Patients with a follow-up of less than 30 days and those with missing data for the primary outcome were excluded from the analysis.

Virological Characteristics

The presence of M184V/I mutations was established by the cumulative resistance profile available before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG, including resistance tests performed before any treatment was started for a fraction of patients. Information on thymidine analogue mutations (TAMs; M41L, D67N, K70R, L210W, T215Y/F, and K219Q/E) before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG also was obtained.

Endpoints

The primary objective was to explore the effectiveness of ABC/3TC/DTG among treatment-experienced patients with or without a documented M184V/I mutation. VF was defined as 2 consecutive HIV-1 RNA measurements >50 copies/mL or a single HIV-RNA value >50 copies/mL accompanied by a change in ART or censoring, whichever occurred first.

As a secondary objective, we considered a composite outcome combining VF with virological blips (VBs), defined as isolated detectable HIV-1 RNA measurements >50 copies/mL followed by a viral load (VL) <50 copies/ml.

The following factors were explored as predictors of VF and/ or VBs: age; sex; body mass index; ethnicity; VL before the first ART regimen above or below 100 000 copies/mL; CD4 count nadir; CD4 count at the time of the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG; number of TAMs from pre-ABC/3TC/DTG genotypes; prior VFs; years of HIV infection; HIV transmission route; intravenous drug use; HIV infection stage; duration of viral suppression before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG; and previous PI or integrase inhibitor exposure.

Data Analysis

Kaplan Meier plots/estimators and unweighted and inverse probability weighted (IPW) univariate Cox proportionalhazard models were used to assess the primary and secondary outcomes [21, 22]. To calculate the weights, we used multivariate logistic regression modeling of the likelihood of a patient having the M184V/I mutation to calculate the propensity score (ê(x)), which indicates the calculated probability of each patient having the M184V/I mutation based on the following confounders: age; sex; body mass index; race; VL before the first antiretroviral regimen above or below 100 000 copies/mL; CD4 nadir; number of TAMs from pre-ABC/3TC/DTG genotypes; transmission route; years of HIV infection; months of viral suppression before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG; and previous protease or integrase inhibitor exposure. The propensity scores were then converted to the inverse proportional weights where the weight was set as equal to $1/\hat{e}(x)$ for individuals with M184V/I and $1/[1- \hat{e}(x)]$ for individuals without the mutation [22]. As initial attempts at the weighting procedure yielded 2 groups that were exceedingly heterogenous (Supplementary Figure 1S), we performed the final weighted analysis only on the subpopulation of the 580 patients who had failed on an ART regimen prior to ABC/3TC/DTG initiation, with and without a documented M184V/I mutation.

With regards to the propensity score distributions, we observed an improved overlap between the M184V/I and non-M184V/I population when restricted to those with previous VF, which led to a convergence in their characteristics (Supplementary Figure 1S, Supplementary Table S1).

As recommended for weighted survival analyses, the confidence intervals were calculated using robust variance estimations [23, 24].

The secondary objectives were assessed with categorical variables analyzed by the χ^2 or Fisher exact test, as appropriate, and continuous variables by the *t* or Mann-Whitney test, depending on data distribution. Time-at-risk started with the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG and ended at the time of the penultimate VL measurement where the second reading may occur up to 6 months after discontinuation of the ABC/3TC/DTG regimen or first VF.

A sensitivity analysis was performed by excluding individuals who modified ABC/3TC/DTG treatment because of drugrelated adverse events. Statistical significance was set at a Pvalue <.05. Statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.3.1 on June 21, 2016 (R Studio, Inc, Boston, MA).

RESULTS

Baseline and Patient Characteristics

Table 1 shows the characteristics of 1626 patients who were included in the analysis: 778 (47.8%) from SHCS; 460 (28.3%) from ATHENA; 168 (10.3%) from the Aquitaine cohort; 132 (8.2%) from ICONA; and 88 (5.4%) from ARCA. The highest prevalence of the M184V/I mutation was found in the Aquitaine cohort (37 patients; 22.0%), followed by ARCA (14 patients; 15.9%), SHCS (56 patients; 7.2%), ATHENA (24 patients; 5.2%) and ICONA (6 patients; 4.5%). Overall, 137 patients had an M184V/I mutation (8.4%) with a ratio of the

presence or absence of the M184V/I mutation of approximately 1:11. Median follow-up was 288.5 days (interquartile range, 154–441).

Patients with M184V/I were predominantly male, with a mean age of 53.3 years. They had a longer duration of HIV infection, ART treatment, and a longer virological suppression before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG compared with patients without the M184V/I mutation. Most patients with a M184V/I mutation had experienced VF during their previous treatment history (127; 92.7%) compared with those without the mutation (453; 30.4%).

VFs

We observed 21 (1.29%) VFs among the 1626 patients included in the study. Among these, 17 (1.21%) patients had no previously detected M184V/I mutation and 4 (3%) did (Table 2).

Seventy-five patients in total had a VB, of whom 63 (4.2%) were without and 12 (8.8%) had a M184V/I mutation (mean HIV-RNA level, 181 [92–269] and 267 [28–563], respectively). The incidence of VF after the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG was 29.8 (11.2–79.4) and 13.6 (8.4–21.8) per 1000 person-years in patients with and without M184V/I, respectively. The rate difference was 16.4 (-13.7–46.2; P = .09). Among the 6 patients with a genotype available after the VF, no new mutations were observed. Genotypic testing was not performed in 13 patients, because the low VL was followed by an undetectable VL and information was missing for the remaining 2 patients (Supplementary Table S2).

Risk Factor Analysis (VF)

In the univariate Cox proportional-hazards analyses, the presence of the M184V/I mutation was not significantly associated with a higher risk of failure (hazard ratio [HR], 1.81; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.60–5.49; P = .29; Figure 1A).

Composite Outcome: Occurrence of VF/VBs

VF or VBs, or both, were observed in 15 of 137 (10.95%) patients with a documented M184V/I mutation compared to 73 of 1489 (4.9%) patients in the group with a wild type at this position.

The unweighted univariate analysis showed that M184V/I had an effect on the increase of the risk of the composite outcome (HR, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.09–3.35; P = .022; Figure 2A). However, the propensity score on the total study population was not statistically significant (HR, 1.66; 95% CI, 0.93–2.96).

IPW-Adjusted Analysis on a Restricted Population (Patients with Prior VF)

To fulfil the prerequisites for the IPW-adjusted analysis, we only performed this particular analysis on the restricted population of 580 individuals who had experienced VF on an ART regimen prior to ABC/3TC/DTG, which led to a convergence of the population characteristics after IPW adjustment (Table

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Patients With or Without an Archived M184V/I Mutation

Variables	Baseline Characteristics				
	Without M184V/I (N = 1489)	With M184V/I (N = 137)	<i>P</i> valu		
Follow up, days ^a	307 (95% CI: 298–317)	358 (95% CI: 321–394)	.010		
Age, years ^a	48.5 (95% CI: 47.9-49.0)	53.3 (95% CI: 51.6–55.0)	<.001		
Sex, female	319 (21.4%)	42 (30.7%)	.017		
BMI, kg/m ^{2,a}	24.0 (95% CI: 23.6-24.3)	23.5 (95% CI: 22.7-24.2)	.230		
Time since documented HIV infection, years ^a	10.6 (95% CI: 10.2-10.9)	20.2 (95% CI: 19.2-21.3)	<.001		
Time since ART initiation, years ^a	8.5 (95% CI: 8.2-8.8)	17.3 (95% Cl: 16.5–18.2)	<.001		
Viral suppression before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG, months ^a	83.5 (95% CI: 82.5-88.1)	134.0 (95% CI: 126.5-141.5)	<.001		
Patients with a previous documented VF	453 (30.4%)	127 (92.7%)	<.001		
CD4 T-cell count nadir, mm ^{3,a}	232 (95% CI: 224-241)	178 (95% CI: 155–201)	<.001		
CD4 T-cell count at the time of the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG, mm ^{3,a}	665 (95% CI: 649-680)	667 (95% CI: 612-722)	.929		
Viral load before 1 st ART regimen, copies/ml, log10 transformed ^a	4.84 (95% CI: 4.79-4.89)	4.77 (95% CI: 4.54-5.00)	.576		
Prior AIDS diagnosis	277 (18.6%)	38 (27.7%)	.005		
At least 2 TAMs	49 (3.3%)	58 (42.3%)	<.001		
At least 3 TAMs	29 (1.9%)	41 (29.9%)	<.001		
Distribution of M184V/I by cohort			<.001		
Aquitaine	131 (8.8%)	37 (27.0%)			
Antiviral Response Cohort Analysis (ARCA)	74 (5.0%)	14 (10.2%)			
AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands (ATHENA)	436 (29.3%)	24 (17.5%)			
Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naïve Patients (ICONA)	126 (8.5%)	6 (4.4%)			
Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS)	722 (48.5%)	56 (40.9%)			
Treatment class before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG (at any time)					
NNRTI	897 (60.2%)	111 (81.0%)	<.001		
INSTI	282 (18.9%)	25 (18.2%)	.933		
PI	1082 (72.7%)	133 (97.1%)	<.001		

Abbreviations: ABC/3TC/DTG, abacavir/lamivudine/dolutegravir; ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitors; IPW, inverse probability weighting analysis; NNRTI, nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors; PI, protease inhibitor; TAM, thymidine analogue mutations. ^a Mean.

3). We observed 12 (2.1%) VFs among those with a previous VF before the switch to the ABC/3TC/DTG regimen. In this subpopulation, 8 (1.8%) patients had no previous archived M184V/I mutation before IPW adjustment, while 4 (3.2%) did (Table 4). VF incidence was 32.0 (0.64–63.4) and 10.4 (0.21–20.60) for those with and without the M184V/I mutation, respectively. The rate difference was 21.7 (-11.4–54.6; P = .199). The unweighted univariate analysis for the patients with prior VF also was similar (HR, 1.19; 95% CI, 0.35–4.06; P = .781; Figure 1B).

In the IPW-adjusted analysis of 580 patients with prior VF, results for the primary and secondary outcomes were essentially unchanged, For the primary outcome, the estimated HR for patients with the M184V/I mutation was 1.27 (95% CI, 0.35–4.59; P = .733; Figure 1C) and for the composite outcome the estimated HR was 1.66 (95% CI, 0.81–3.43; P = .17).

TAMs

At least 2 TAMs were documented in 42.3% of patients with M184V/I compared to 3.3% of those without the mutation

Table 2. Virological Primary Outcomes of Patients With or Without M184V/I Mutations Archived in Overall Population

Outcomes	Primary Outcomes (Overall Population)					
	Without M184V/I (N = 1489)	With M184V/I (N = 137)	<i>P</i> value			
VF	17 (1.21%)	4 (3%)	.09			
- 2x HIV-RNA >50 copies/mL	10	1	_			
- 1x HIV-RNA >50 copies/mL + ABC/3TC/DTG stop	7	3	_			
Treatment (ABC/3TC/DTG) discontinued for reasons other than VF	232 (15.6%)	14 (10.2%)	.12			
VB						
- at least 1 VB during ABC/3TC/DTG treatment	63 (4.2%)	12 (8.8%)	.03			
- mean copies/ml (95% Cl)	181 (92–269)	267 (-28–563)	.55			
Incidence of VF per 1000 person-years	13.6 (8.4–21.8)	29.8 (11.2–79.4)	.09			

Abbreviations: ABC/3TC/DTG, abacavir/lamivudine/dolutegravir; CI, confidence interval; VB, virological blips; VF, virological failure.



Figure 1. Estimated probability of remaining free from virological failure (VF) with (blue) and without (red) the presence of the M184V/I mutation in (A) the overall population (*P* = .295) and in the subgroup population (at least 1 VF before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG), (B) before (*P* = .781) and (C) after (e = 0.733) inverse probability weighted adjustment.



Before FOV AdjactmentAfter FOV AdjactmentAfter FOV AdjactmentColspan="4">After FOV AdjactmentColspan="4">After FOV AdjactmentColspan="4">After FOV AdjactmentColspan="4">After FOV AdjactmentColspan="4">After FOV AdjactmentColspan="4">After FOV AdjactmentState FOV AdjactmentSta			baseline Unaracteristics				
Miniation Miniation <th miniation<="" th=""> <t< th=""><th></th><th>Before IF</th><th>oW Adjustment</th><th></th><th>After IPW /</th><th>Adjustment</th></t<></th>	<t< th=""><th></th><th>Before IF</th><th>oW Adjustment</th><th></th><th>After IPW /</th><th>Adjustment</th></t<>		Before IF	oW Adjustment		After IPW /	Adjustment
Induced State <	Variables	Without $M184V/I$ (N = 453)	VVith M184V/I (N = 127)	P value	Without M184V/I (N = 466) ^b	With M184V/I (N = 114) ^b	
Res End (66) (51, 55, 51) Code End (66) (51, 55, 52, 52, 52) End (66) (51, 55, 55, 52, 52, 52, 52, 52) End (66) (51, 55, 55, 52, 52, 52, 52, 52, 52, 52, 52	Follow-up, days ^a	310 (95% CI: 292–328)	359 (95% CI: 320–398)	.023	304 (95% CI: 284–325)	316 (95% CI: 261–371)	
Sw, funde 114 (32.2%) 38 (23.9%) 33 (25.9%) 33 (52.5%) Sw, funde 114 (32.2%) 23 (65% (1.36-12.4)) 33 (65% (1.36-12.3)) 23 (65% (1.36-12.3)) 23 (65% (1.36-12.3)) 23 (65% (1.36-13.3)) </td <td>Age, years^a</td> <td>51.4 (95% CI: 50.4-52.4)</td> <td>53.4 (95% CI: 51.7-55.1)</td> <td>.048</td> <td>51.6 (95% CI: 49.0–54.1)</td> <td>51.0 (95% CI: 43.1-59.0)</td>	Age, years ^a	51.4 (95% CI: 50.4-52.4)	53.4 (95% CI: 51.7-55.1)	.048	51.6 (95% CI: 49.0–54.1)	51.0 (95% CI: 43.1-59.0)	
Bulk with with with with with with with with	Sex, female	114 (25.2%)	38 (29.9%)	.336	130 (27.9%)	37 (32.5%)	
Time since decomented HVI infection, years' 15 (65% C): 136-137) 206 (65% C): 136-137) 71 (65% C): 156-130) 71 (75% C) 71 (75% C	BMI, kg/m ^{2,a}	23.9 (95% CI: 23.3-24.6)	23.5 (95% CI: 22.8-24.3)	.568	24.8 (95% CI: 23.5–26.2)	23.0 (95% CI: 18.5-27.5)	
Image state antererowal therapy initation, years' 131 (196% Ci : 105-13.7) 177 (186% Ci : 163-168) <001 143 (196% Ci : 132-132.7) 155 (156 - 143.2) Ufd suppression before the switch to ABC3TC 158 (196% Ci : 106-121.0) 134 (196% Ci : 106-121.2) 134 (196% Ci : 106-121.2) 132 (196% Ci : 106-1432.7) 155 (196 - 1432.7) 152 (196% Ci : 132-132.7) 152 (196% Ci : 136-132.7) 122 (196% Ci : 136-132.7) 123 (196% Ci : 136-1	Time since documented HIV infection, years a	15.0 (95% Cl: 14.4-15.7)	20.6 (95% CI: 19.6–21.6)	<.001	16.5 (95% CI: 15.0-17.9)	17.4 (95% CI: 15.4–19.4)	
Viral supression before the switch to ABC3TC/ TGR monthadf. 15 (156 ± 142.1) (201 122.4 (95% CI: 102132.7) 128.2 (95% CI: 103103.1) Viral supression before the switch to ABC3TC/ monthadf. 15 (156 ± 152200) 15 (157 ± 157132.7) 128.2 (95% CI: 103136213.1) CM Fell (112.2 ± 157.5) (158 ± 157200) 15 (158 ± 157200) 15 (158 ± 158213.1) (128.2 (95% CI: 103136213.1) (128.2 (95% CI: 103136.213.1) (128.2 (95% CI: 103136.213.1) (128.2 (95% CI: 103136.213.1) (128.2 (95% CI: 103136.1)	Time since antiretroviral therapy initiation, years ^a	13.1 (95% Cl: 12.6-13.7)	17.7 (95% CI: 16.9–18.6)	<.001	14.3 (95% CI: 13.0-15.5)	15.5 (95% CI: 13.5-17.5)	
CMA Teel nount madit, m ^{m³} 196 (95% CI: 152–200) 151 187 (95% CI: 174–201) 174 (95% CI: 136–213) CMA Teel nount at the time of the switch to AB CATC/DTG, m ^{m³} 104 157 578 669 (95% CI: 616–723) 694 (95% CI: 635–6854) CMA Teel nount at the time of the switch to AB CATC/DTG, m ^{m³} 191 (95% CI: 612–602) 157 (85% CI: 616–723) 694 (95% CI: 635–6854) CMA Teel nount at the time of the switch to AB CATC/DTG, m ^{m³} 101 (22.3%) 665 (95% CI: 616–723) 30 488 (95% CI: 437–436) 418 (95% CI: 435–607) Pint AIDS diagnesis 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.3%) 64 (25%) 102 (21.4%) 103 (95% CI: 435–607) Pint AIDS diagnesis 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.3%) 101 (22.4%) 103 (95% CI: 435–607) 103 (95% CI: 435–607) <th< td=""><td>Viral suppression before the switch to ABC/3TC/ DTG, months $^{\rm a}$</td><td>115.8 (95% CI: 110.6–121.0)</td><td>134.3 (95% Cl: 126.5–142.1)</td><td><.001</td><td>122.4 (95% CI: 112.2–132.7)</td><td>128.2 (95% Cl: 108.1–148.3)</td></th<>	Viral suppression before the switch to ABC/3TC/ DTG, months $^{\rm a}$	115.8 (95% CI: 110.6–121.0)	134.3 (95% Cl: 126.5–142.1)	<.001	122.4 (95% CI: 112.2–132.7)	128.2 (95% Cl: 108.1–148.3)	
Cut Teel count at the fine of the switch to 647 (56, Ci: 621–673) 666 (65%, Ci: 606–724) 578 669 (65%, Ci: 616–723) 684 (65%, Ci: 635–684) ABCGTCDTG, mm ³ ABCGTCDTG, mm ³ 333 486 (95%, Ci: 616–723) 684 (95%, Ci: 635–684) Vial label blow Termed ^T </td <td>CD4 T-cell count nadir, mm³ ا</td> <td>195 (95% Cl:183–208)</td> <td>176 (95% Cl: 152–200)</td> <td>.151</td> <td>187 (95% Cl: 174–201)</td> <td>174 (95% Cl: 136–213)</td>	CD4 T-cell count nadir, mm ³ ا	195 (95% Cl:183–208)	176 (95% Cl: 152–200)	.151	187 (95% Cl: 174–201)	174 (95% Cl: 136–213)	
Viral load before 1" AFT regimen, copies/ml, log 10 transformed ¹ 4.81 (95% CI: 4.82-5.01) 4.78 (95% CI: 4.77-4.96) 4.81 (95% CI: 4.474-5.07) Op 00 transformed ¹ 101 (22.3%) 37 (23.1%) 37 (23.1%) 0.86 112 (24.0%) 2.81 (95% CI: 4.34-5.07) Prior ADS diamosis 101 (22.3%) 37 (23.1%) 37 (23.1%) 0.86 112 (24.0%) 2.81 (93.5%) Prior ADS diamosis 101 (22.3%) 37 (23.5%) 4.00 (31.5%) 0.86 112 (24.0%) 2.81 (93.5%) Attents 7 MMS 2 4 (9.1%) 5 4 (42.5%) 0.001 38 (62.5%) 2.010 38 (62.5%) 2.1 (13.5%) Admitine Filt 5 (13.5%) 2.001 38 (62.5%) 2.0 (17.5%) 2.1 (13.5%) Admitine Filt 5 (11.3%) 3 (10.2%) 3 (10.2%) 3 (10.2%) 2 (13.5%) Admitine Filt 5 (11.3%) 2 (18.5%) 2 (18.5%) 2 (18.5%) 2 (18.5%) Admitine Filt 5 (11.3%) 2 (18.3%) 2 (18.5%) 2 (18.5%) 2 (18.5%) Admitine Filt Filt <	CD4 T-cell count at the time of the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG, mm 3,a	647 (95% CI: 621–673)	665 (95% CI: 606–724)	.578	669 (95% CI: 616–723)	694 (95% CI: 535-854)	
Picr AIDS diagnosis101 (22.3%)37 (23.1%)0.06112 (24.0%)22 (19.3%)At least 2 TMMs4 (9.1%)5 (4.2.5%)0.0138 (8.2%)5 (4.4%)5 (4.4%)At least 2 TMMs2 (5.3%)2 (5.3%)5 (4.3%)5 (4.4%)5 (4.4%)5 (4.4%)At least 3 TMMs2 (5.3%)2 (5.3%)2 (5.3%)2 (15.%)2 (15.%)2 (15.%)5 (1.4%)5 (1.4%)Distribution of M184/II by cohort5 (1.13%)3 (20.0%)3 (20.0%)5 (1.4%)2 (1.6%)2 (10.5%)Aquitiene5 (1.13%)3 (20.2%)3 (20.2%)5 (3.1%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.5%)2 (1.5%)Aquitiene of M184/II by cohort5 (1.13%)3 (20.2%)3 (1.1%)5 (1.4%)2 (1.0%)2 (1.0%)Aquitiene of M184/II by cohort2 (1.6%)3 (1.0%)2 (1.1%)5 (1.1%)2 (1.0%)2 (1.0%)Aquitiene of M184/II by cohort2 (1.4%)3 (2.0%)2 (1.1%)3 (1.1%)3 (1.1%)3 (1.1%)Aduitiene of M184/II by cohort2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)3 (1.1%)3 (1.1%)3 (1.1%)Aduitiene of M184/II by cohort2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)3 (1.0%)3 (1.0%)Aduitiene of M184/II by cohort2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)3 (1.1%)Aduitiene of M184/II by cohort2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%)Aduitiene of M184/II by cohort2 (1.6%)2 (1.6%) <t< td=""><td>Viral load before 1st ART regimen, copies/ml, log10 transformed^a</td><td>4.91 (95% Cl: 4.82–5.01)</td><td>4.78 (95% Cl: 4.53–5.02)</td><td>.303</td><td>4.86 (95% CI: 4.77–4.96)</td><td>4.81 (95% Cl: 4.54–5.07)</td></t<>	Viral load before 1 st ART regimen, copies/ml, log10 transformed ^a	4.91 (95% Cl: 4.82–5.01)	4.78 (95% Cl: 4.53–5.02)	.303	4.86 (95% CI: 4.77–4.96)	4.81 (95% Cl: 4.54–5.07)	
At least 2 TAMs 4 1 (9.1%) 5 4 (4.2.5%) < 001 38 (8.2%) 5 (4.4%) At least 3 TAMs 2 4 (5.3%) 4 1 (9.1%) 5 (4.4%) 5 (4.4%) 5 (4.4%) At least 3 TAMs 2 2 (5.3%) 2 4 (5.3%) 4 0 (3.15%) < 001 27 (5.8%) 5 (4.4%) Distribution of M184//I by cohort 5 1 (1.13%) 3 3 (26.0%) < 001 27 (5.8%) 5 (1.8%) Aquitine 5 1 (1.13%) 3 3 (26.0%) 3 (3.16%) 2 (1.15%) 2 (1.13%) Aquitine 5 1 (1.13%) 2 3 (3.60%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) Aquitine 100 (22.1%) 2 (1.8.9%) 2 (1.8.9%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) ArtH2NJ 100 (22.1%) 2 (1.8.9%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) ArtH2NJ 100 (22.1%) 2 (1.8.9%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) ArtH2NJ 100 (22.1%) 2 (1.8.9%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) 3 (3.16%) ArtH2NJ 110 (22.1%) 2 (3.9%) 1 (3.10.2%) 2 (3.16%)	Prior AIDS diagnosis	101 (22.3%)	37 (29.1%)	.086	112 (24.0%)	22 (19.3%)	
At least 3TMs 24 (5.3%) 24 (5.3%) 40 (31.5%) <01 27 (5.3%) 2 (13%) Distribution of M184/II by cohort 51 (11.3%) 33 (26.0%) <001	At least 2 TAMs	41 (9.1%)	54 (42.5%)	<.001	38 (8.2%)	5 (4.4%)	
Distribution of M184/I by cohort E1 (11.3%) 33 (26.0%) <.001 E3 (11.4%) 20 (175%) Aquitaine E1 (11.3%) 33 (26.0%) <.001	At least 3 TAMs	24 (5.3%)	40 (31.5%)	<.001	27 (5.8%)	2 (1.8%)	
Aquitaine 51 (113%) 33 (26.0%) <.001 53 (14.%) 20 (175%) Antivial Response Chort Analysis (ARCA) 26 (5.4%) 13 (10.2%) 55 (71%) 20 (175%) AlDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 100 (22.1%) 13 (10.2%) 98 (21.0%) 20 (175%) AlDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 100 (22.1%) 24 (18.9%) 98 (21.0%) 12 (10.5%) AlDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 100 (22.1%) 24 (18.9%) 98 (21.0%) 14 (13.6%) AlDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 21 (4.6%) 5 (3.9%) 98 (21.0%) 16 (3.1.6%) AlDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 21 (4.6%) 5 (3.9%) 19 (4.1%) 5 (4.4%) Altion Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naïve Patients 21 (4.6%) 5 (3.9%) 19 (4.1%) 5 (4.4%) Icon Altistroviral-Naïve Patients 21 (4.6%) 5 (3.9%) 5 (3.9%) 19 (4.1%) 5 (4.4%) Icon Altistroviral-Naïve Patients 21 (4.0%) 5 (3.9%) 13 (4.1%) 5 (4.4%) Icon Altistroviral-Naïve Patients 21 (4.0%) 5 (3.9%) 2 (1 (3.6%) 2 (1 (3.6%)<	Distribution of M184V/I by cohort						
Antivital Response Cohort Analysis (ARCA) 26 (5.4 %) 13 (10.2 %) 35 (751 %) 12 (10.5 %) AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 100 (22.1 %) 24 (18.9 %) 98 (210 %) 36 (756 %) 12 (10.5 %) AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 100 (22.1 %) 24 (18.9 %) 98 (210 %) 36 (31.6 %) AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 21 (4.6 %) 24 (18.9 %) 98 (21.0 %) 36 (31.6 %) AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 21 (4.6 %) 5 (3.9 %) 98 (21.0 %) 36 (31.6 %) Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naive Patients 21 (4.6 %) 5 (3.9 %) 19 (4.1 %) 5 (4.4 %) Italian Cohort Study (SHCS) 25 (56.3 %) 5 (3.9 %) 5 (4.0 %) 5 (4.1 %) 5 (4.4 %) Strist FID Cohort Study (SHCS) 255 (56.3 %) 5 (4.0 %) 13 (4.1 %) 5 (4.4 %) CONAJ Strist FID Cohort Study (SHCS) 255 (56.3 %) 5 (4.0 %) 14 (36.0 %) 24 (36.0 %) Strist FID Cohort Study (SHCS) 318 (70.2 %) 103 (81.1 %) 22 (10.2 %) 22 (10.3 %) 22 (10.3 %) Itation till 318	Aquitaine	51 (11.3%)	33 (26.0%)	<.001	53 (11.4%)	20 (17.5%)	
AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands 100 (22.1%) 24 (18.9%) 98 (21.0%) 36 (31.6%) ATHENA) (ATHENA) 11 (4.1%) 98 (21.0%) 36 (31.6%) Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naive Patients 21 (4.6%) 5 (3.9%) 36 (31.6%) Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naive Patients 21 (4.6%) 5 (3.9%) 19 (4.1%) 5 (4.4%) Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naive Patients 21 (4.6%) 5 (4.0.9%) 19 (4.1%) 5 (4.4%) Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS) 255 (56.3%) 52 (40.9%) 261 (56.0%) 41 (36.0%) Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS) 255 (56.3%) 52 (40.9%) 261 (56.0%) 41 (36.0%) Teatment class before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG 318 (70.2%) 103 (81.1%) 261 (56.0%) 261 (56.0%) NRTI 318 (70.2%) 103 (81.1%) .020 327 (70.2%) 92 (80.7%) NRTI 318 (70.2%) 103 (81.0%) .07 437 (93.8%) 112 (98.2%)	Antiviral Response Cohort Analysis (ARCA)	26 (5.4%)	13 (10.2%)		35 (7.51%)	12 (10.5%)	
Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naïve Patients 21 (4.6%) 5 (3.9%) 19 (4.1%) 5 (4.4%) (ICONA) 255 (56.3%) 5 2 (40.9%) 261 (56.0%) 41 (36.0%) Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS) 255 (56.3%) 52 (40.9%) 261 (56.0%) 41 (36.0%) Teatment class before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG 318 (70.2%) 103 (81.1%) 020 327 (70.2%) 92 (80.7%) NNRTI 318 (70.2%) 103 (81.1%) .020 327 (70.2%) 92 (80.7%) NNRTI 81 (17.9%) 24 (18.9%) .020 327 (70.2%) 92 (80.7%) NNRTI 91 (75.4%) 126 (99.2%) .007 437 (93.8%) 112 (98.2%)	AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands (ATHENA)	100 (22.1%)	24 (18.9%)		98 (21.0%)	36 (31.6%)	
Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS) 255 (56.3%) 52 (40.9%) 261 (56.0%) 41 (36.0%) Treatment class before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG 318 (70.2%) 103 (81.1%) 200 327 (70.2%) 92 (80.7%) NNRTI 318 (70.2%) 103 (81.1%) .020 327 (70.2%) 92 (80.7%) NNRTI 81 (17.9%) 24 (18.9%) .895 88 (18.9%) 22 (19.3%) NSTI 417 (92.1%) 126 (99.2%) .007 437 (93.8%) 112 (98.2%)	Italian Cohort of Antiretroviral-Naïve Patients (ICONA)	21 (4.6%)	5 (3.9%)		19 (4.1%)	5 (4.4%)	
Treatment class before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG Ite any time) 227 (70.2 %) 22 (80.7 %) NNRT 318 (70.2 %) 103 (81.1 %) .020 327 (70.2 %) 92 (80.7 %) NNRT 81 (17.9 %) 24 (18.9 %) .895 88 (18.9 %) 22 (19.3 %) PI 417 (92.1 %) 126 (99.2 %) .007 437 (93.8 %) 112 (98.2 %)	Swiss HIV Cohort Study (SHCS)	255 (56.3%)	52 (40.9%)		261 (56.0%)	41 (36.0%)	
NNRTi 318 (70.2%) 103 (81.1%) .020 327 (70.2%) 92 (80.7%) INSTi 81 (17.9%) 24 (18.9%) .895 88 (18.9%) 22 (19.3%) PI 417 (92.1%) 126 (99.2%) .007 437 (93.8%) 112 (98.2%)	Treatment class before the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG (at any time)						
INSTI 81 (179%) 24 (18.9%) .895 88 (18.9%) 22 (19.3%) PI 417 (92.1%) 126 (99.2%) .007 437 (93.8%) 112 (98.2%)	NNRTI	318 (70.2%)	103 (81.1 %)	.020	327 (70.2%)	92 (80.7%)	
PI 417 (92.1%) 126 (99.2%) .007 437 (93.8%) 112 (98.2%)	INSTI	81 (17.9%)	24 (18.9%)	.895	88 (18.9%)	22 (19.3%)	
	PI	417 (92.1%)	126 (99.2%)	.007	437 (93.8%)	112 (98.2%)	

Table 3. Baseline Characteristics of Patients with Virological Failure on Prior Treatment, With or Without Archived M184V/I Mutations, Before and After Inverse Probability Treatment Weighted Adjustment

^a Mean.

^b Synthetic n values derived from weights. The mean of weights was 1.02 in the group without M184V/I and 0.89 in the group with M184V/I.

Bold indicates all the confounders used in the multivariate logistic regression.

	Primary Outcomes				
	Population with Prior VF			Population with Prior VF	
	Before	Before IPW Adjustment		After IPW Adjustment	
Outcomes	Without M184V/I (N = 453)	With M184V/I (N = 127)	<i>P</i> value	Without M184V/I (N = 466) ^a	With M184V/I (N = 114) ^a
VF:	8 (1.8%)	4 (3.2%)	.306	7 (1.5%)	3 (2.6%)
2x HIV-RNA >50 copies/mL	5	1		4	1
1x HIV-RNA >50 copies/mL + ABC/3TC/DTG stop	3	3		3	2
Treatment (ABC/3TC/DTG) discontinued for reasons other than VF	7 (17.22%)	13 (10.24%)	.08	75 (16.1%)	20 (17.5%)
VB					
at least 1 VB during ABC/3TC/DTG treatment	22 (4.9%)	12 (9.5%)	.083	23 (4.9%)	9 (7.9%)
mean copies/ml (95% Cl)	120 (63–177)	267 (-28–563)	.303	117 (65–169)	307 (-157–772)
Incidence of VF per 1000 person-years	10.4 (0.21–20.60)	32.0 (0.64–63.4)	.199	18.5 (4.8–32.3)	27.3 (-3.6–58.2)

Abbreviations: ABC/3TC/DTG, abacavir/lamivudine/dolutegravir; CI, confidence interval; IPW, inverse probability weighting (analysis); VB, virological blips; VF, virological failure. ^aSynthetic n values derived from weights. The mean of weights was 1.02 in the group without M184V/I and 0.89 in the group with M184V/I.

(Supplementary Table S3). Among the 21 patients who experienced VF, 2 had 3 archived TAMs (D67N, K70R, and K219Q/E and M41L, K70R, and K219Q/E), including the M184V/I mutation. One patient had 2 TAMs (D67N and K219Q/E) without an archived M184V/I mutation. The remaining 18 patients had no archived TAMs.

Sensitivity Analysis

The sensitivity analysis was performed on 489 patients who had prior VF after excluding all those who stopped ABC/3TC/DTG due to drug-related adverse events. We did not observe any significant association between VF and the M184V/I mutation (data not shown). In the propensity weighted analysis, the HR was 1.61 (95% CI, 0.76–3.39; P = .22).

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the largest observational study to focus on the impact of the M184V/I mutation on the risk of VF in virologically-suppressed patients switching to ABC/3TC/DTG. Overall, we observed a very low VF rate (1.3%) after the switch to ABC/3TC/DTG, with no statistically significant difference in the VF incidence among patients with or without M184V/I after correction for differences in patient characteristics. Furthermore, no new mutations were observed in reverse transcriptase or integrase after VF in any of the patients in which genotyping was successfully performed, with the caveat that the genotypic resistance results were available for only a minority of patients (6 of 21).

One of the main strengths of our study is the use of an IPWadjusted analysis for the population restricted to those with VF on a prior regimen [25]. In this multi-cohort study, the characteristics of the groups of patients with or without M184V/I were too divergent to allow the use of a standard multivariate Cox proportional-hazards analysis. We took into account several predictors of VF. Among these, the presence of TAMs was considered as one of the most relevant. We showed with the IPW analysis that the M184V/I mutation had no statistically significant effect on VF, including when using the composite outcome (although a trend could not be excluded). However, the wide confidence intervals observed and the limited follow-up should be taken into consideration when interpreting the clinical relevance of our findings. Furthermore, we cannot exclude residual confounding given the absence of data on treatment adherence. Indeed, precise information about adherence to ART was only available for a small part of the data set and patients with or without emergence of the M184V/I mutation after VF may have had a different behavior with regards to treatment adherence.

Previous studies have addressed the effect of the M184V/I mutation on the efficacy of a DTG-containing regimen. Although most were small trials (less than 500 patients) originating from single cohorts, they all described low VF rates, irrespective of the presence of the M184V/I mutation. In the study by Gagliardini et al [26], the 3-year probability to remain VF-free among treatment-experienced patients on PI- or DTGbased dual therapy containing lamivudine in the presence or absence of the M184V/I mutation was 87.8% and 91.9%, respectively (P = .32). Furthermore, among 126 patients treated with a 3TC/DTG-containing regimen and followed for a median of 1.3 years, VF was detected in none of 21 patients with the M184V/I mutation and in 2 of 105 patients without the mutation. Marcelin et al [27] and Reynes et al [28] reported similar results. In both studies, no VF was detected in 59 and 27 patients, respectively, who switched to a DTG-based regimen, despite a high M184V/I prevalence (100% in the first study, 63% in the latter).

In a recent phase 3b, open-label, noninferiority, randomized clinical trial of 627 patients, DTG was shown to be superior

at 48 weeks compared to ritonavir-boosted lopinavir, plus 2 NRTIs in adults in whom previous first-line ART with a non-NRTI plus 2 NRTIs had failed [29]. Of note, M184V/I was present at baseline in more than 80% of patients and 3TC or emtricitabine (FTC) was included as part of the backbone in both arms of the trial. A recent subgroup analysis [30] of this study showed that the response rate of DTG also was high when 3TC or FTC was used in the presence of a M184V/I mutation. Similar results were found in more recent studies where DTG plus 1 to 2 NRTIs were noninferior to PI-based therapy, regardless of the presence of the M184V/I mutation and other NRTI mutations at 48 [31] and 78 weeks [32].

Other integrase strand transfer inhibitors, such as elvitegravir in the co-formulation elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/ tenofovir alafenamide, showed in a prospective open-label study to be effective in maintaining viral suppression at week 12, despite archived M184V/I mutations [33].

An association between low-level viremia (considered as intermittent episodes of VBs) and subsequent VF, inadequate CD4 recovery, and the development of drug resistance have been demonstrated in a previous study [34-36]. More recently, Hermans et al [37] showed that VBs (defined as the occurrence of 1 viral load measurement of 51-999 copies per mL during ART, followed by virological suppression) might be associated with a 2.6-fold higher HR for VF. Similar results were shown by Young et al where an increase of HR 1.09 of viral rebound was detected for every magnitude blip increase of 100 copies/ml in naïve patients [38]. Our study is more comparable to the latter 1 in terms of the VF definition. Although the unweighted univariate analysis showed that M184V/I had a significant effect on the increase of the risk of the composite outcome, including both VBs and VF, this effect was no longer statistically significant in the IPW analysis.

Our study has several limitations. First, despite the fact that our study used data from 5 cohorts, the number of patients who developed VF during follow-up was small overall. Of note, our VF definition was particularly conservative, considering that for 13 patients a genotypic testing was not performed due to a limited VL, followed by an undetectable VL. In these cases, we probably identified a low-level viremia more than a clinically relevant true VF. A longer follow-up and additional data from more patients are needed before more definite conclusions can be drawn. It is also likely that patients with NRTI mutations in these cohorts are those who were treated with less potent regimens in the early days of highly active ART and perhaps were less compliant. Finally, a so-called "indication bias" may have occurred as physicians tended to prescribe a single pill regimen in patients with documented resistant mutations only if they were confident about patient adherence.

In conclusion, in this large international prospective study, we found an extremely low rate of VF among treatmentexperienced patients receiving an ABC/3TC/DTG regimen, irrespective of the presence of a M184V/I mutation. Additional analyses are required to demonstrate whether these findings will remain robust during an extended observation period.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary materials are available at *Open Forum Infectious Diseases* online. Consisting of data provided by the authors to benefit the reader, the posted materials are not copyedited and are the sole responsibility of the authors, so questions or comments should be addressed to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge all members of **Aquitaine**, including the following: Scientific Committee of the ANRS CO3 Aquitaine Cohort

F. Bonnet (principal investigator), L. Wittkop (methodologist); C. Cazanave, V. Gaborieau, M. Hessamfar, E. Lazaro, G. Le Moal, D. Malvy, P. Mercié, D. Neau, M.O. Vareil, I. Pellegrin, P. Blanco, M.E. Lafon, P. Bellecave, S. Bouchet, D. Breilh, D. Lacoste, S. Lawson-Ayayi, A. Gimbert, S. Desjardin, L. Lacaze-Buzy, V. Petrov-Sanchez, L. Marchand, A. Perrier, F. Le Marec, and O. Leleux

Participating Clinical Centers

Hôpital Saint André, CHU de Bordeaux, Médecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses: F. Bonnet, N. Bernard, D. Dondia, P. Duffau, I. Faure, M. Hessamfar, D. Lacoste, P. Mercié, P. Morlat, F. Paccalin, M.C. Pertusa, M.A. Vandenhende, E. Riebero, and C. Rivoisy

- Hôpital Pellegrin, CHU de Bordeaux, Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales: C. Cazanave, F.A. Dauchy, A. Desclaux, M. Dupon, H. Dutronc, D. Neau, D. Malvy, A. Ochoa, T. Pistone, M.C. Receveur, and G. Wirth
- Hôpital Haut-Lévêque, CHU de Bordeaux, Médecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses: C. Greib, E. Lazaro, J.L. Pellegrin, and J.F. Viallard
- Hôpital d'Agen, Médecine Interne: Y. Imbert, M. Thierry-Mieg, and P. Rispal
- Hôpital de Libourne, Médecine Interne: O. Caubet, H. Ferrand, and S. Tchamgoué
- Hôpital de Bayonne, Maladies Infectieuses: S. Farbos, M.O. Vareil, and H. Wille
- Hôpital de Dax, Médecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses: K. Andre, L. Caunegre, Y. Gerard, and F. Osorio-Perez

Hôpital Saint-Cyr/Villeneuve sur Lot, Maladies Infectieuses: I. Chossat Hôpital de Mont de Marsan, Médecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses:

G. Iles, Y. Gerard, M. Labasse-Depis, and F. Lacassin
 Hôpital d'Arcachon, Médecine Interne: A. Barret and C. Courtault
 Hôpital de Périgueux, Médecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses:

N. Berthol, B. Cougoul, P. Lataste, J. Marie, and N. Rouanes Hôpital de Pau, Médecine Interne et Maladies Infectieuses: G. Dumondin and V. Gaborieau

- Hôpital d'Orthez, Médecine Interne: Y. Gerard *Data Collection*
- S. Delveaux, B. Uwamaliya, K. Zara, A. Pougetoux, F. Diarra, C. Hanapier, M.J. Blaizeau, M. Decoin, E. Lenaud, and S. Lawson-Ayayi
- Project Team CMG-EC

A. Perrier (data manager), F. Le Marec (statistician), and O. Leleux (project leader)

- We thank the patients enrolled in the **ARCA** cohort as well as the ARCA scientific board and the companies supporting the initiative.
 - We acknowledge all members of **ATHENA**, including the following: Academic Medical Centre of the University of Amsterdam (AMC-UvA)

HIV treating physicians: M. van der Valk, S.E. Geerlings, M.H. Godfried, A. Goorhuis, J.W. Hovius, T.W. Kuijpers, F.J.B. Nellen, D.T. van der Poll, J.M.

Prins, P. Reiss, H.J. M. van Vugt, W.J. Wiersinga, and F.W.M.N. Wit

- HIV nurse consultants: M. van Duinen, J. van Eden, A.M.H. van Hes, F.J.J. Pijnappel, and A.M. Weijsenfeld
- HIV clinical virologists/chemists: S. Jurriaans, N.K.T. Back, H.L. Zaaijer, B. Berkhout, M.T.E. Cornelissen, C.J. Schinkel, and K.C. Wolthers
- Admiraal De Ruyter Ziekenhui, Goes HIV treating physicians: M. van den Berge and A. Stegeman

HIV nurse consultants: S. Baas and L. Hage de Looff

HIV clinical virologists/chemists: B. Wintermans, J. Veenemans. and C. Ziekenhuis

Admiraal De Ruyter Ziekenhui, Eindhoven

HIV treating physicians: M.J.H. Pronk and H.S.M. Ammerlaan

- HIV nurse consultants: E.S. de Munnik HIV clinical virologists/chemists: A.R. Jansz, J. Tjhie, M.C.A. Wegdam, B. Deiman, and V. Scharnhorst
- DC Klinieken Lairesse HIV Focus Centrum
- HIV treating physicians: A. van Eeden and M. van der Valk
- HIV nurse consultants: W. Brokking, M. Groot, and L.J.M. Elsenburg
- HIV clinical virologists/chemists: M. Damen and I.S. Kwa
- ETZ (Elisabeth-TweeSteden Ziekenhuis), Tilburg

HIV treating physicians: M.E.E. van Kasteren and A.E. Brouwer

- HIV nurse consultants: R. van Erve, B.A.F.M. de Kruijf-van de Wiel, S. Keelan-Pfaf, and B. van der Ven
- Data collection: B.A.F.M. de Kruijf-van de Wiel and B. van de Ven HIV clinical virologists/chemists: A.G.M. Buiting, P.J. Kabel, and
- D.Versteeg

Erasmus MC, Rotterdam

- HIV treating physicians: M.E. van der Ende, H.I. Bax, E.C.M. van Gorp, J.L. Nouwen, B.J.A. Rijnders, C.A.M. Schurink, A. Verbon, T.E.M.S. de
- Vries-Sluijs, and N.C. de Jong-Peltenburg
- HIV nurse consultants: N. Bassant, J.E.A. van Beek, M. Vriesde, and L.M. van Zonneveld
- Data collection: H.J. van den Berg-Cameron, J. de Groot, and M. de Zeeuw-de Man
- HIV clinical virologists/chemists: C.A.B. Boucher, M.P.G Koopmans, J.J.A van Kampen, and S.D. Pas

Flevoziekenhuis, Almere

HIV treating physicians: J. Branger and R.A. Douma

HIV nurse consultant: C.J.H.M. Duijf-van de Ven

Haga Ziekenhuis, Den Haag

HIV treating physicians: E.F. Schippers and C. van Nieuwkoop

- HIV nurse consultants: J.M. van IJperen and J. Geilings
- Data collection: G. van der Hut
- HIV clinical virologist/chemist: N.D. van Burgel
- HMC (Haaglanden Medisch Centrum), Den Haag
- HIV treating physicians: E.M.S. Leyten and L.B.S. Gelinck
- HIV nurse consultants: S. Davids-Veldhuis, A.Y. van Hartingsveld, C. Meerkerk, and G.S. Wildenbeest
- HIV clinical virologists/chemists: E. Heikens
- Isala. Zwolle
- HIV treating physicians: P.H.P. Groeneveld, J.W. Bouwhuis, and A.J.J. Lammers
- HIV nurse consultants: S. Kraan, A.G.W. van Hulzen, and M.S.M. Kruiper

Data collection: G.L. van der Bliek and P.C.J. Bor

HIV clinical virologists/chemists: P. Bloembergen, M.J.H.M. Wolfhagen, and G.J.H.M. Ruijs

Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum, Leiden

HIV treating physicians: F.P. Kroon, M.G.J. de Boer, H. Scheper, and H. Jolink

HIV nurse consultants: W. Dorama and N. van Holten

HIV clinical virologists/chemists: E.C.J. Claas and E. Wessels

Maasstad Ziekenhuis, Rotterdam

- HIV treating physicians: J.G. den Hollander, K. Pogany, and A. Roukens HIV nurse consultants: M. Kastelijns, J.V. Smit, E. Smit, D. Struik-Kalkman, and C. Tearno
 - Data collection: T. van Niekerk
 - HIV clinical virologists/chemists: O. Pontesilli
 - Maastricht UMC+, Maastricht
- HIV treating physicians: S.H. Lowe, A.M.L. Oude Lashof, and D. Posthouwer

HIV nurse consultants: R.P. Ackens, K. Burgers, and J. Schippers

Data collection: B. Weijenberg-Maes

HIV clinical virologists/chemists: I.H.M. van Loo and T.R.A. Havenith

MC Slotervaart, Amsterdam

- HIV treating physicians: J.W. Mulder, S.M.E. Vrouenraets, and F.N. Lauw
- HIV nurse consultants: M.C. van Broekhuizen and D.J. Vlasblom
- HIV clinical virologists/chemists: P.H.M. Smits
- MC Zuiderzee, Lelystad
- HIV treating physicians: S. Weijer, R. El Moussaoui

HIV nurse consultant: A.S. Bosma

Medisch Centrum Leeuwarden, Leeuwarden

HIV treating physicians: M.G.A.van Vonderen and L.M. Kampschreur

HIV nurse consultants: K. Dijkstra and S. Faber

HIV clinical virologists/chemists: J Weel

Medisch Spectrum Twente, Enschede

HIV treating physicians: G.J. Kootstra and C.E. Delsing

HIV nurse consultants: M. van der Burg-van de Plas and H. Heins

Noordwest Ziekenhuisgroep, Alkmaar

HIV treating physicians: W. Kortmann, G. van Twillert, and R. Renckens HIV nurse consultant and data collection: D. Ruiter-Pronk and F.A. van Truijen-Oud

HIV clinical virologists/chemists: J.W.T. Cohen Stuart, E.P. IJzerman, R. Jansen, W. Rozemeijer, and W.A. van der Reijden

OLVG, Amsterdam HIV treating physicians: K. Brinkman, G.E.L. van den Berk, W.L. Blok, P.H.J. Frissen, K.D. Lettinga W.E.M. Schouten, and J. Veenstra HIV nurse consultants: C.J. Brouwer, G.F. Geerders, K. Hoeksema, M.J. Kleene, I.B. van der Meché, M. Spelbrink, A.J.M. Toonen, and S. Wijnands HIV clinical virologists: D. Kwa Data collection: R. Regez (coordinator) Radboudumc, Nijmegen HIV treating physicians: R. van Crevel, M. Keuter, A.J.A.M. van der Ven, H.J.M. ter Hofstede, A.S.M. Dofferhoff, and J. Hoogerwerf HIV nurse consultants: K.J.T. Grintjes-Huisman, M. de Haan, and M. Marneef HIV clinical virologists/chemists: J. Rahamat-Langendoen and F.F. Stelma HIV clinical pharmacology consultant: D. Burger Rijnstate, Arnhem HIV treating physicians: E.H. Gisolf, R.J. Hassing, and M. Claassen HIV nurse consultants: G. ter Beest, P.H.M. van Bentum, and N. Langebeek HIV clinical virologists/chemists: R. Tiemessen and C.M.A. Swanink Spaarne Gasthuis, Haarlem HIV treating physicians: S.F.L. van Lelyveld and R. Soetekouw HIV nurse consultants: L.M.M. van der Prijt and J. van der Swaluw Data collection: N. Bermon HIV clinical virologists/chemists: W.A. van der Reijden, R. Jansen, B.L. Herpers, and D. Veenendaal Medisch Centrum Jan van Goyen, Amsterdam HIV treating physicians: D.W.M. Verhagen HIV nurse consultant: M. van Wijk Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen, Groningen HIV treating physicians: W.F.W. Bierman, M. Bakker, J. Kleinnijenhuis, E. Kloeze, Y. Stienstra, K.R. Wilting, and M. Wouthuyzen-Bakker HIV nurse consultants: A. Boonstra, P.A. van der Meulen, and D.A. de Weerd HIV clinical virologists/chemists: H.G.M. Niesters, C.C. van Leer-Buter, and M. Knoester Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht, Utrecht HIV treating physicians: A.I.M. Hoepelman, J.E. Arends, R.E. Barth, A.H.W. Bruns, P.M. Ellerbroek, T. Mudrikova, J.J. Oosterheert, E.M. Schadd, M.W.M. Wassenberg, and M.A.D. van Zoelen HIV nurse consultants: K. Aarsman, D.H.M. van Elst-Laurijssen, I. de Kroon, C.S.A.M. van Rooijen Data collection: M. van Berkel and C.S.A.M. van Rooijen HIV clinical virologists/chemists: R. Schuurman, F. VerduynLunel, and A.M.J. Wensing VUmc, Amsterdam HIV treating physicians: E.J.G. Peters, M.A. van Agtmael, and M. Bomers HIV nurse consultants: M. Heitmuller and L.M. Laan HIV clinical virologists/chemists: C.W. Ang, R. van Houdt, A.M. Pettersson, and C.M.J.E. Vandenbroucke Grauls Coordinating centre: P. Reiss (director) and S. Zaheri (deputy director) Data analysis: D.O. Bezemer, A.I. van Sighem, C. Smit, F.W.M.N. Wit, and T.S. Boender Data management and quality control: M. Hillebregt, A. de Jong, and T. Woudstra Data monitoring: D. Bergsma, S. Grivell, R. Meijering, M. Raethke, and T. Rutkens Data collection: L. de Groot, M. van den Akker, Y. Bakker, M. Bezemer, A. El Berkaoui, J. Geerlinks, J. Koops, E. Kruijne, C. Lodewijk, E. Lucas, R. van der Meer, L. Munjishvili, F. Paling, B. Peeck, C. Ree, R. Regtop, Y. Ruijs, L. van de Sande, M. Schoorl, E. Tuijn, L. Veenenberg, S. van der Vliet, A. Wisse, and E.C. Witte Patient registration: B. Tuk We acknowledge all members of the ICONA, including the following: Board directors: A. d'Arminio Monforte (President), A. Antinori (Vice-President), M. Andreoni, A. Castagna, F. Castelli, R. Cauda, G. Di Perri, M. Galli, R. Iardino, G. Ippolito, A. Lazzarin, G.C.

Marchetti, G. Rezza, F. von Schloesser, and P. Viale Scientific secretary: A. d'Arminio Monforte, A. Antinori, A. Castagna, F. Ceccherini-Silberstein, A. Cozzi-Lepri, E. Girardi, S. Lo Caputo, C. Mussini, M. Puoti, and C.F. Perno Steering committee: A. Antinori, F. Bai, C. Balotta, A. Bandera, S. Bonora, M. Borderi, A. Calcagno, A. Capetti, M.R. Capobianchi, A. Castagna, F. Ceccherini-Silberstein, S. Cicalini, A. Cingolani, P. Cinque, A. Cozzi-Lepri, A. d'Arminio Monforte, A. De Luca, A. Di Biagio, E. Girardi, N. Gianotti, A. Gori, G. Guaraldi, G. Lapadula, M. Lichtner, S. Lo Caputo, G. Madeddu, F. Maggiolo, G. Marchetti, L. Monno, C. Mussini, S. Nozza, C.F. Perno, C. Pinnetti, M. Puoti, E. Quiros Roldan, R. Rossotti, S. Rusconi, M.M. Santoro, A. Saracino, and L. Sarmati Statistical and monitoring team: A. Cozzi-Lepri, I. Fanti, L. Galli, P. Lorenzini, A. Rodano', M. Macchia, and A. Tavelli Biological bank INMI: F. Carletti, S. Carrara, A. Di Caro, S. Graziano, F. Petroni, G. Prota, and S. Truffa Participating physicians and centers: A. Giacometti, A. Costantini, V. Barocci (Ancona); G. Angarano, L. Monno, E. Milano (Bari); F. Maggiolo, C. Suardi (Bergamo); P. Viale, V. Donati, G. Verucchi (Bologna); F. Castelnuovo, C. Minardi, E. Quiros Roldan (Brescia); B. Menzaghi, C. Abeli (Busto Arsizio); B. Cacopardo, B. Celesia (Catania); J. Vecchiet, K. Falasca (Chieti); A. Pan, S. Lorenzotti (Cremona); L. Sighinolfi, D. Segala (Ferrara); P. Blanc, F. Vichi (Firenze); G. Cassola, C. Viscoli, A. Alessandrini, N. Bobbio, G. Mazzarello (Genova); M. Lichtner, S. Vita, (Latina); P. Bonfanti, C. Molteni (Lecco); A. Chiodera, P. Milini (Macerata); G. Nunnari, G. Pellicanò (Messina); A. d'Arminio Monforte, M. Galli, A. Lazzarin, G. Rizzardini, M. Puoti, A. Castagna, E.S. Cannizzo, M.C. Moioli, R. Piolini, D. Bernacchia, S. Salpietro, C. Tincati, (Milano); C. Mussini, C. Puzzolante (Modena); C. Migliorino, G. Lapadula (Monza); V. Sangiovanni, G. Borgia, V. Esposito, F. Di Martino, I. Gentile, V. Rizzo (Napoli); A.M. Cattelan, S. Marinello (Padova); A. Cascio, M. Trizzino (Palermo); F. Baldelli, E. Schiaroli (Perugia); G. Parruti, F. Sozio (Pescara); G. Magnani, M.A. Ursitti (Reggio Emilia); M. Andreoni, A. Antinori, R. Cauda, A. Cristaudo, V. Vullo, R. Acinapura, D. Moschese, M. Capozzi, A. Mondi, A. Cingolani, M. Rivano Capparuccia, G. Iaiani, A. Latini, R. Gagliardini, M.M. Plazzi, S. Savinelli, A. Vergori (Roma); M. Cecchetto, F. Viviani (Rovigo); G. Madeddu, A. De Vito (Sassari); B. Rossetti, F. Montagnani (Siena); A. Franco, R. Fontana Del Vecchio (Siracusa); D. Francisci, C. Di Giuli (Terni); P. Caramello, G. Di Perri, S. Bonora, G.C. Orofino, M. Sciandra (Torino); M. Bassetti, A. Londero (Udine); G. Pellizzer, V. Manfrin (Vicenza); G. Starnini, A. Ialungo (Viterbo) We acknowledge all members of the SHCS: V. Aubert, M. Battegay, E. Bernasconi, J. Boni (chair of the resistance group), D.L. Braun, H. Bucher, A. Calmy, M. Cavassini, A. Ciuffi, G. Dollenmaier, M. Egger, L. Elzi, J. Fehr, J. Fellay, H. Furrer (chairman of the clinical and laboratory committee), C.A. Fux, H.F. Gunthard (president of the SHCS), D. Haerry (deputy of Positive Council), B. Hasse, H.H. Hirsch, M. Hoffmann, I. Hosli, C. Kahlert, L. Kaiser, O. Keiser, T. Klimkait, R.D. Kouyos, H. Kovari, B. Ledergerber, G. Martinetti, B. Martinez de Tejada, C. Marzolini, K.J. Metzner, N. Muller, D. Nicca, G. Pantaleo, P. Paioni, A. Rauch (chairman of the scientific board), C. Rudin (chairman of the mother and child substudy), A.U. Scherrer (head of the data center), P. Schmid, R. Speck, M. Stockle, P. Tarr, A. Trkola, P. Vernazza, G. Wandeler, R. Weber, and S Yerly Data were gathered by the 5 Swiss university hospitals, 2 cantonal hospitals, 15 affiliated hospitals, and 36 private physicians (lhttp://www.shcs.ch/180-health-care-providers).

Financial support. This work was financed within the framework of the SHCS, supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant #177499), by SHCS project # 816 of the SHCS Research Foundation, and by the Yvonne Jacob Foundation (to H.F.G.) and the Clinical Research Priority Program of the University of Zurich Viral Infectious Diseases Section (to H.F.G.).

This work was also supported by the University of Zurich's University Research Priority Program, "Evolution in Action: From Genomes to Ecosystems" (grant #U-702-26-01) and by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant #BSGGI0_155851; both to H.N.).

The ATHENA cohort is managed by Stichting HIV Monitoring and supported by a grant from the Dutch Ministry of Health, Welfare, and Sport through the Centre for Infectious Disease Control of the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment.

Potential conflicts of interest. All authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

- Saag MS, Benson CA, Gandhi RT, et al. Antiretroviral drugs for treatment and prevention of HIV infection in adults: 2018 recommendations of the international antiviral society-USA panel. JAMA 2018; 320:379–96.
- Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. Department of Health and Human Services. http://www.aidsinfo.nih.gov/ContentFiles/ AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf. Last published July 10, 2019. Accessed February 16, 2019.
- Clotet B, Feinberg J, van Lunzen J, et al; ING114915 Study Team. Once-daily dolutegravir versus darunavir plus ritonavir in antiretroviral-naive adults with HIV-1 infection (FLAMINGO): 48 week results from the randomised open-label phase 3b study. Lancet 2014; 383:2222–31.
- Walmsley SL, Antela A, Clumeck N, et al; for the SINGLE Investigators. Dolutegravir plus abacavir–lamivudine for the treatment of HIV-1 infection. N Engl J Med 2013; 369:1807–18.
- Cahn P, Pozniak AL, Mingrone H, et al; extended SAILING Study Team. Dolutegravir versus raltegravir in antiretroviral-experienced, integrase-inhibitornaive adults with HIV: week 48 results from the randomised, double-blind, noninferiority SAILING study. Lancet 2013; 382:700–8.
- Fourati S, Charpentier C, Amiel C, et al; ANRS AC11 Resistance Study Group. Cross-resistance to elvitegravir and dolutegravir in 502 patients failing on raltegravir: a French national study of raltegravir-experienced HIV-1-infected patients. J Antimicrob Chemother 2015; 70:1507–12.
- Llibre JM, Hung CC, Brinson C, et al. Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of dolutegravir-rilpivirine for the maintenance of virological suppression in adults with HIV-1: phase 3, randomised, non-inferiority SWORD-1 and SWORD-2 studies. Lancet 2018; 391:839–49.
- Wijting I, Rokx C, Boucher C, et al. Dolutegravir as maintenance monotherapy for HIV (DOMONO): a phase 2, randomised non-inferiority trial. Lancet HIV 2017; 4:e547–54.
- Hocqueloux L, Raffi F, Prazuck T, et al; MONCAY Study Group. Dolutegravir monotherapy versus dolutegravir/abacavir/lamivudine for virologically suppressed people living with chronic HIV infection: the randomized non-inferiority MONCAY trial. Clin Infect Dis 2019; doi:10.1093/cid/ciy1132
- Black V, Schwartz SR. Issues about periconception use of dolutegravir are reminiscent of early concerns about efavirenz. Lancet HIV 2018; 5:e732–6.
- Blanco JL, Rojas J, Paredes R, et al. Dolutegravir-based maintenance monotherapy versus dual therapy with lamivudine: a planned 24 week analysis of the DOLAM randomized clinical trial. J Antimicrob Chemother 2018; 73:1965–71.
- Ryom L, Boesecke C, Bracchi M, et al; EACS Governing Board. Highlights of the 2017 European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) Guidelines for the treatment of adult HIV-positive persons version 9.0. HIV Med 2018; 19:309–15.
- 13. Braun DL, Turk T, Tschumi F, et al. Non-inferiority of simplified dolutegravir monotherapy compared to continued combination antiretroviral therapy that was initiated during primary HIV infection: a randomized, controlled, multi-site, open-label, non-inferiority trial. Clin Infect Dis 2019; doi:10.1093/cid/ciy1131.
- 14. HIV French Resistance. Available at: http://www.hivfrenchresistance.org/.
- Collin A, Le Marec F, Vandenhende M-A, et al. Incidence and risk factors for severe bacterial infections in people living with HIV. ANRS CO3 Aquitaine Cohort, 2000–2012. PLOS ONE 2016; 11:e0152970.
- Modica S, Rossetti B, Lombardi F, et al. Prevalence and determinants of resistance mutations in HIV-1-infected patients exposed to integrase inhibitors in a large Italian cohort. HIV Med 2019; 20:137–46.
- Boender TS, Smit C, van Sighem A, et al; on behalf of the ATHENA national observational HIV cohort. AIDS Therapy Evaluation in the Netherlands (ATHENA) national observational HIV cohort: cohort profile. BMJ Open 2018; 8 e022516.
- Fondazione Icona. Italian Cohort Naïve Antiretrovirals. http://www. fondazioneicona.org/_new2/. Published September 8, 2016. Accessed July 28, 2019.
- The Swiss HIV Cohort Study, Schoeni-Affolter F, Ledergerber B, et al. Cohort profile: the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. Int J Epidemiol 2010; 39:1179–89.
- Center of Excellence for Health, Immunity and Infections. HICEP (HIV Collaboration Data Exchange Protocol). https://chip.dk/Tools-Standards/ HICDEP. Published March 30, 2003. Accessed July 28, 2019.
- Potard V, Simon A, Lacombe JM, Parienti JJ, Costagliola D; French Hospital Database on HIV (FHDH-ANRS CO4). Switching to raltegravir from a virologically effective boosted protease inhibitor regimen: a comparative effectiveness analysis from the French Hospital Database on HIV (FHDH-ANRS CO4). Clin Infect Dis 2016; 63:1254–61.
- Olmos A, Govindasamy P. A practical guide for using propensity score weighting in R. Pract Assessment Res Eval 2015; 20:1–8.

- Buchanan AL, Hudgens MG, Cole SR, Lau B, Adimora AA; for the Women's Interagency HIV Study. Worth the weight: using inverse probability weighted Cox models in AIDS research. AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses 2014; 30:1170–7.
- 24. Cole SR, Hernan MA. Constructing inverse probability weights for marginal structural models. Pract Epidemiol **2008**; 168: 656–64.
- Kurth T, Walker AM, Glynn RJ, et al. Results of multivariable logistic regression, propensity matching, propensity adjustment, and propensity-based weighting under conditions of nonuniform effect. Am J Epidemiol 2006; 163:262–70.
- 26. Gagliardini R, Ciccullo A, Borghetti A, et al. Impact of the M184V resistance mutation on virological efficacy and durability of lamivudine-based dual antiretroviral regimens as maintenance therapy in individuals with suppressed HIV-1 RNA: a cohort study. Open Forum Infect Dis 2018; 5:ofy113.
- 27. Marcelin A, Charpentier C, Wirden M, et al. M184V/I as unique NRTI resistance mutation does not impact the efficacy of an abacavir/lamivudine/dolutegravi r use as switch in patients with a fully suppressed viral load. In: 15th European Meeting on HIV & Hepatitis Treatment Strategies & Antiviral Drug Resistance; June 7–9, 2017; Rome, Italy. Abstract 50.
- 28. Reynes J, Meftah N, Montes B. Dual regimen with dolutegravir and lamivudine maintains virologic suppression even in heavily treatment-experienced HIV-infected patients: 48-week results from a pilot study (DOLULAM). In: Abstracts from the International Congress of Drug Therapy in HIV Infection; October 23–26, 2016; Glasgow, United Kingdom. Abstract 80.
- 29. Aboud M, Kaplan R, Lombaard J, et al. Dolutegravir versus ritonavir-boosted lopinavir both with dual nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor therapy in adults with HIV-1 infection in whom first-line therapy has failed (DAWNING): an open-label, non-inferiority, phase 3b trial. Lancet Infect Dis 2019; 19:253–64.
- Brown D, Wang R, Underwood M, et al. DTG VS LPV/R (DAWNING): Efficacy by baseline NRTI resistance and second -line NRTI use. In: Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections (CROI); March 4–7, 2019; Seattle, WA. Abstract 144.

- Chen GJ, Sun HY, Chang SY, et al. Effectiveness of switching from protease inhibitors to dolutegravir in combination with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors as maintenance antiretroviral therapy among HIV-positive patients. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2019; 54:35–42.
- Sörstedt E, Carlander C, Flamholc L, et al. Effect of dolutegravir in combination with Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors (NRTIs) on people living with HIV who have pre-existing NRTI mutations. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2018; 51:733–8.
- 33. Valero IP, Llibre JM, Lazzarin A, et al. A phase 3b, open-label, pilot study to evaluate switching to elvitegravir/cobicistat/emtricitabine/tenofovir alafenamide (E/C/F/TAF) in virologically-suppressed HIV-1 infected adult subjects harboring the NRTI resistance mutation M184V and/or M184I (GS-US-292-1824). International AIDS Society; July 23–27, 2018; Amsterdam, the Netherlands. Abstract B38.
- Swenson LC, Min JE, Woods CK, et al. HIV drug resistance detected during low-level viraemia is associated with subsequent virologic failure. AIDS 2014; 28:1125–34.
- Sungkanuparph S, Groger RK, Overton ET, et al. Persistent low-level viraemia and virological failure in HIV-1-infected patients treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy. HIV Med 2006; 7:437–41.
- Karlsson AC, Younger SR, Martin JN, et al. Immunologic and virologic evolution during periods of intermittent and persistent low-level viremia. AIDS 2004; 18:981–9.
- Hermans LE, Moorhouse M, Carmona S, et al. Effect of HIV-1 low-level viraemia during antiretroviral therapy on treatment outcomes in WHO-guided South African treatment programmes: a multicentre cohort study. Lancet Infect Dis 2018; 18:188–97.
- Young J, Rickenbach M, Calmy A, et al. Transient detectable viremia and the risk of viral rebound in patients from the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. BMC Infect Dis 2015; 15:382.